

SENATOR BARNETT: I would so move.

PRESIDENT: Record your vote. Have you voted? Record.

CLERK: Record vote read. (See page 2270, Legislative Journal.) 34 ayes, 14 nays, 1 not voting.

PRESIDENT: LB 41 passes notwithstanding the objection of the Governor. LB 46.

CLERK: Mr. President, I move that LB 46 become law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor. Signed Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: Ladies and gentlemen, I will make it very brief. We have discussed this at some length. There is much merit to creating new programs, to creating needed agencies of government, but there is an even greater need to correct faults in our existing government or failures of government to proceed as provided by Constitution and statute. Accordingly, I ask that you stand erect as Senators, as one of the three balanced branches of Government and advance LB 46 notwithstanding the Governor's veto.

PRESIDENT: Record your vote. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: Record vote read. (See page 2271, Legislative Journal.) 35 ayes, 13 nays, 1 not voting.

PRESIDENT: LB 46 becomes law notwithstanding the objection of the Governor. LB 549. The Clerk advises that we now have motions on the first two bills of your agenda. We will, therefore, go back to LB 539.

CLERK: Mr. President, I move that LB 539 become law notwithstanding the objections of the Governor. Signed Senator Warner.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, as you know, the new rules provide the requirement for the Appropriation Committee to recommend to this body relative to overrides on appropriation bills and in this case the committee voted this morning to recommend to you that LB 539 be overridden. If you have read the Governor's veto message, it only refers to the, that is, his veto only refers to the specific dollar amounts that was distributed in the appropriation bill for the seven areas which were included in the corrections improvement program. I think the jist of his objection deals with that this should remain as an administrative flexibility to use these funds between programs. I know others of the committee will wish to speak on the issue but I think I would like to point out that there was considerable time going back early in the session spent on devising an organized method for improving the programs in the penal complex and to assure that the emphasis of those various programs as much as possible was directed toward rehabilitation and not just storing people without the accompanying possibility for rehabilitation. The allocation of funds between these programs were not done by guess but with some calculation as to what could be accomplished and I think that the significance of this