

by Senator George to have an appropriation, some \$18,000 as I recall, to the University for recording folklore. It came to the attention of the committee that a previous session of the Legislature, the Legislature had appropriated funds to the Educational Television Commission to develop folklore programming as part of the bicentennial celebration. Those funds are continued in your continuation budget. The intent of this language was to suggest that the Educational Television Commission and the University work with the Historical Society in developing tapes, programming, to preserve some of the ethnic and historical history of the state. Do you have an amendment to this, Senator George? If you don't, I'd move for the adoption of those amendments as explained.

SENATOR GEORGE: Mr. Speaker. In answer to Senator Warner's question I believe I would approve...I would agree with the Appropriations Committee amendment so far. I think I might want to come back on Select File and further clarify this particular amendment. Thank you.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Chair recognizes Senator Lamb.

SENATOR LAMB: Mr. Chairman, members of the Legislature. A question of Senator Warner please.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Senator Warner, will you respond.

SENATOR WARNER: Yes.

SENATOR LAMB: I'm only wondering would it not be better procedure to have the intent bill precede the appropriation bill instead of vice versa?

SENATOR WARNER: Yes. It perhaps would. I guess we hadn't really talked.... We had talked about how they should be on Final Reading. The feeling has been that the intent bill should proceed the appropriation bills on Final Reading. That might be an appropriate change. The whole concept is new.

SENATOR LAMB: It seems to me that even, at least on Select File that would be the proper procedure too because I think that many of us that are not on the Budget Committee could get a better understanding of what the Budget Committee is trying to do through the intent bill. If we've already passed the appropriation bill, before we get to the intent bill, it seems to me that the purpose of the intent bill has more or less been defeated.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Any further discussion on the amendment? If not the question before the House is the adoption of the series of Warner amendments all contained in this one amendment which he has explained. All those in favor vote aye. Opposed nay. Have you all voted? Record the vote.

CLERK: 26 ayes, 0 nays, Mr. President.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The amendment is adopted. Any other amendments?

CLERK: Yes. Mr. President, the next amendment is the amendment offered by Senator Koch. It is essentially as appears in the Duis amendment, on page 1916 of the Legislative Journal, except that in the last line of the main paragraph there is added "which placement may be appealed to the State Appeal Board". Signed, Senator Koch.