

Now will these be by number, Senator Warner, so they can reference them to the Journal or are you going to move to adopt all of them.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I think all of these are corrective amendments and I think they can be adopted in one motion but I will explain them.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: All right, so your motion is to adopt the committee amendments which you have introduced here on page 1548. Proceed, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Amendment #1 here makes an adjustment in the appropriation to the Game and Parks Commission as drafted here. There is no increase in the general fund appropriation. It does change the amount of the appropriation by virtue of passage, internally, of LR 442 which increased the per diem available for the Game and Parks Commissioners to the amount of \$6300. I understand there may be an amendment offered somewhere to increase the general fund appropriation to do this but this will only increase the personal services limitation that the agency already had and to reflect the passage of the act and it did not and does not appropriate additional funds by the agency amendment and there also is a distribution, then, between the cash funds and general fund money for that increase in their personal services limitation. #3, again, is solely corrective language. You will notice words there to the effect of "Dora Reeves" which is a misnomer. It is now called the Nebraska Library Commission. At one time, that was an appropriate name for a fund but it no longer exists. The same is true on the amendment affecting the Nebraska Drug Commission. It was a correction in the title for that appropriation, and then amendment #4 does result in an increase of \$7,000 in the general fund appropriation to the Department of Administrative Services but it was an error in calculation leaving out a position which or a half position which the committee had approved and then the language that continues on the top of page 1549 is followed through on that amendment affecting their total funds. So I would move adoption of those amendments, Mr. President. I doubt that any of them are controversial.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The Chair recognizes Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: A question of Senator Warner, if I might. Senator Warner, these amendments that you made here now or proposing for voting, are they not or have some correlation with the amendments that Senator Frank Lewis has in 532? I am wondering about your correlation as to figures. His amendments were on page...

SENATOR WARNER: To DAS?

SENATOR DUIS: Yes. Now, it doesn't make any particular difference to me but I didn't want to get you mixed up as to what amendment should be presented first.

SENATOR WARNER: This is totally corrective as far as DAS is concerned. I believe the amendments, as I read them in the Journal, would not...they affect the total amount of the agency but I do not believe that what is proposed here and what Senator Lewis has to offer would be in conflict.