

when the University was often under fire, Dr. Baker one time told me it was the best investment that Nebraskans could make and it should always be regarded as an investment and I would just like to say that as long as people of Dr. Baker's caliber are associated with the University, it will be a good investment and I join Senator Rumery in his asking you to adopt this resolution.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Is there any further discussion on the resolution? The question before the House is the adoption of the Rumery resolution. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Record the vote.

CLERK: 29 ayes, 0 nays on motion to adopt. That is all, Mr. President.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The resolution is adopted.

CLERK: That is all, Mr. President. Mr. President, the Executive Board gives notice that they will continue their budget hearings on the Legislative Council....no, they will have a meeting immediately upon adjournment.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: We will now take up LB 520, the last one of the afternoon.

CLERK: LB 520 introduced by the Appropriations Committee and signed by the members thereof. Read title. There are a series of amendments, Mr. President, to the bill. Mr. President, there are committee amendments by Senator Warner's Appropriations Committee.

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: The Chair recognizes Senator Warner on the committee amendments. Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I move adoption of the committee amendments. LB 520 is the legislation that affects the microfilming of state records. I think we have indicated a couple of times that there were a variety of requests from different agencies to acquire equipment and we had sent letters to all agencies inquiring what they thought their microfilm needs might be. So LB 520 was drafted for the purpose of expanding, really, the state records administrator's responsibilities to cover all agencies funded through a revolving fund type of financing rather than financing each agency individual. By and large, though the bill prevents, one of the major features, it would prevent an agency from spending funds for the acquisition of microfilming equipment unless the state administrator approved of it and the reason for this is that in some instances it is appropriate for an agency to have their own equipment. The real key is that the equipment is consistent with the rest of the state equipment for purposes of processing film. The committee amendments in all cases put an effective date, not to be prior to July 1 of 1979, when they would take some of these responsibilities over and funding would occur then the following session. So I would move adoption of the committee amendment, before I get to the bill as a whole.

CLERK: Did he move to adopt the committee amendment?

SPEAKER LUEDTKE: Yes. The motion is to adopt...