

during the term which they are elected. There has to be a new election, not a new person necessarily, but a new term of office for salaries to be adjusted.

SENATOR LAME: One more quick question. In view of the fact that the Legislators salaries were not raised at the last election, do you think the people of the state would like to see the constitutional officers salaries raised?

SENATOR WARNER: I don't know, Senator Lamb. I assume that they would not.

PRESIDENT: Senator Maresh.

SENATOR MARESH: Mr. President, a question of Senator Warner. Are you sure it was 1970? I thought this happened since I've been here, and I came in 1971. Are you sure it wasn't increased since 1970?

SENATOR WARNER: Senator Maresh, I guess the only way I can respond to it is the letter that all of them signed, that is four of them signed, indicated it had not been adjusted since 1970. That is where I took the figure from. It would have taken effect in '71. There may have been some that have been adjusted other than those five that I indicated.

SENATOR MARESH: Is there a need to pass that this session yet?

SENATOR WARNER: No, it would not have to pass this session. It would have to pass in the '73 session, though, to take effect for those taking office in 1979.

SENATOR MARESH: Should we be taking time now when everybody is anxious to get on the way and get bills passed, that we should take time for hearings and to consider these bills? I'd say that this could be held over till next session.

PRESIDENT: The question is the granting of request 660. Record your vote. Have you all voted? Record.

CLERK: 30 ayes, 1 nay on the motion to introduce.

PRESIDENT: The request is granted.

CLERK: Mr. President, motion on the desk. I move for the introduction of the attached bill, request number 364, dealing with appropriation of funds to aid in carrying out LB 199. Signed, Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. This is an adjustment in appropriation for the Workmarks Comp Court. The dollar amount that is in the proposed bill is the level that the agencies seem to feel that they needed to implement that legislation. It was an amount that, if that is what is required, would be in addition to what they probably could handle. There is some disagreement as to whether that full amount is required or not. But to resolve the issue it was felt it would be best to put it in a separate bill. The amount, I believe, is \$13,000 and some odd dollars.

PRESIDENT: Is there any further discussion? The question is the granting of this request. Record your vote. Have you all voted? Please vote. Have you voted? Record.