

April 21, 1977

LB 238, 483

CLERK: All you can do Senator Savage is adopt the E & R amendments and advance the bill.

SENATOR SAVAGE: I've got that motion here on my desk, don't I? Motion to return?

CLERK: Yes, but all we are doing now is advancing the...adopting the E & R amendments.

SENATOR SAVAGE: I move that the...well Senator Cullan will do that.

SENATOR MARVEL: Senator Cullan.

SENATOR CULLAN: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I move the E & R amendments to LB238 be adopted.

SENATOR MARVEL: All those in favor say aye, opposed no. Motion carries, the E & R amendments are adopted. Senator Savage do you want to move the bill?

SENATOR SAVAGE: I move the bill be returned then? I move the bill be advanced.

SENATOR MARVEL: All those in favor of advancing 238 to E & R for Engrossment say aye, opposed no. Motion carried. Now that is all that we can do with 238. Thank you Senator Savage. We are now going back to LB483. What is the...

CLERK: Mr. President, LB483, the E & R amendments were adopted on April 13th at which time the bill was laid over and there are now a series of amendments to the bill. Well, not so many after all. The first one Mr. President is offered by Senator George is found on page 1518 of the Legislative Journal.

SENATOR MARVEL: Senator George amendment found on page 1518 of the Journal. The Chair recognizes Senator George.

SENATOR GEORGE: Mr. Chairman, I move for the adoption of the amendments.

SENATOR MARVEL: Is there a second? The motion has been moved and seconded. The Chair recognizes Senator George.

SENATOR GEORGE: As I have already mentioned, you can find the amendments in the Journal. These are minor amendments and if you recall the debate that we have had on 483 I have discussed all of these amendments with Senator Duis and Senator Bereuter and there is no more disagreement as to these mainly technical amendments. One important change, we caught that rather late through the help of some bond attorneys was to change the provision that property owners have to pay the special assessments back to property. Obviously that kind of change has to be made, that is the major change in the amendments. I'll be glad to discuss any other part if that is necessary. Senator Duis and Senator Bereuter still have two amendments, two of my amendments which had to be done this way because the amendment was already printed in the Journal, and we have discussed that together and there is...at least there is no disagreement between myself and Senator Duis and Senator Bereuter as to the amendments to my amendment.