

March 30, 1977

LR 34
LB 312, 100, 226

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Move the adoption of the Marsh amendment. Are you ready for the question? All those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote nay.

CLERK: It is to strike "two" and insert "one".

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Hold the phone. Read the amendment so Senator Lamb would know it. Clear the board.

CLERK: It's on page 6, line 17, strike "two" and insert "one".

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Is the amendment clear, Senator Lamb? Now are you ready to vote? All in favor of the amendment vote aye, those opposed vote no. Clerk will record.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. Chairman, will you please return this now to Final Reading, since it will be in its original form and will not have to be reprinted.

CLERK: The motion, Mr. President, is to advance 312 to Final Reading. Signed, Senator Marsh.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: All those in favor of advancing 312 to Final Reading will say aye, those opposed nay. Excuse me, to go to Final Reading, instead of E & R final, you have to have a machine vote. All those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote nay.

CLERK: Senator Frank Lewis voting aye.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Record.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on motion to advance.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: The bill is advanced. The preceding lights, we were on the Dworak amendment to lay LR 34 over until the disposition of LB 100. Those lights that are on, and the speaking order will be: Koch, Kelly, Mills, Venditte. Those are the four lights we had before. Senator Koch. Senator Koch, the motion is the Dworak motion to lay LR 34 over until the disposition of LB 100.

SENATOR KOCH: Mr. President, members of the body. I can't help but remind the members of this body that a year or so ago we had a similar piece of legislation, LB 383. The subject matter was municipalities engulfing rural communities as they relate to education. When I look at LB 100 I see a very similar problem. If we can avoid that type of an issue again, and I suggest that possibly the study and Senator Dworaks' amendment is right. In addition, in the Urban Affairs Committee we have legislation which is LB 226, which is the Nebraska Municipal Coop Financing Act. No one has offered too much thought to that on the floor this morning in debate. That particular piece of legislation is sought by municipalities. I guess the remark that I want to leave with you most of all is the fact that often times the power companies are guilty, I believe, of trying to serve more people than they possibly can in good faith, and many times attempt to set up an empire at the expense of others. Hopefully, if we adopt the study today there will be something coming forth from the study that would, in some degree, attempt to say to power companies "this is your constituency and this is what you will serve," rather than constantly get involved over the municipality utilities district versus the rural, versus someone else. But

02208