

ing in the Miscellaneous Subjects Committee, and that Committee is deadlocked, it cannot resolve the issue at this time. So we ask that this issue be brought to the floor of the Legislature. I'd like to make three points as to why I think this bill should be debated by the full Legislature. I think it should be debated because it covers three major issues that we've already discussed in different aspects. It deals with the problem of litter, it deals with the problem of energy conservation, it deals with the area of solid waste disposal. A lot of other alternatives have been presented in those areas, for example, in the area of litter we've debated Senator Schmit's bill. In the area of energy there have been different proposals, a resolution, and I think more action by this Legislature in that area. In the area of resources we have such bills as Senator Venditte's bill dealing with the placement of solid waste sites. LB 310 also addresses those issues. I think those are very significant issues we're facing, so we spend a lot of time debating the litter issue. I want to stress that these other two issues are equally important. I think that 310 should be brought to the floor so that we can discuss its relationship to the energy problem that we're facing, and 310 be brought to the floor so we can discuss its relationship to our solid waste problem and the use of our natural resources. This bill has been in the Legislature many times by many different introducers over the last 20 years. The only time that it came before the full Legislature was in the 1950's. What the sponsors of this bill are asking from this Legislature is that you give us an opportunity to bring it out of the deadlocked committee, place it on General File so that it can come up in the order of bills and be debated, that is all we're asking from you, simply the opportunity to debate this bill before the full Legislature so that you can hear its relationship to all the issues that we've talked about. I would make that motion.

PRESIDENT: Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Mr. Chairman, members of this body. I rise to oppose the motion by my good friend, Senator Fowler. He is right. This bill has been here many times. I, personally, had the pleasure to vote against it in committee five times. Probably, Senator Fowler, based on your persistence if you don't succeed this year it will probably be the sixth next time. But the real issue is, Mr. President, that this has become one of the fashionable issues of the day, a rather superficial attempt at solving a massive problem. Senator Fowler referred to the merits of this bill and I was absolutely amazed that 310, the bottle bill, was going to resolve the energy crisis, 310, the bottle bill, will resolve the solid waste problem, 310, the bottle bill, was going to take care of all the litter problems which I think is amazing, but Senator Fowler must be reading a different 310 than I have read. The bill has traditionally been supported by middle class, secure people, and fashionable editors of less fashionable newspapers to bring the bill out. But the real guts of the bill is, and I'm surprised at some of those on the bill today because they are some of the ones most concerned about unemployment and paying unemployment. The real issue in this bill for five years has been that by the introduction of this bill, and it is true in Oregon, and Senator Fowler knows it, there has been sub-