

little differently on Select File. I think this is a very important bill and I think that we should move it. I like the philosophy of the bill that those who use the facilities should help defray the cost of maintaining the facilities. I don't think it's an exorbitant fee. I do believe that we should try again with another version of Senator Koch's amendment and we will try that on Select File. I think that it's an important bill and we should move it because the state parks system needs this money if we're going to maintain state parks in the state of Nebraska.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I hesitate to rise again on this bill. Again I want to tell you this is not my number one priority bill. I don't think the system is going to fall apart if we don't pass the blooming bill. I don't think that I know all the answers to the problem of the state parks system and by all that's fair, I would suggest that my opponents on this piece of particular legislation do not know all the answers either. I would suggest that if you're going to nickel and dime it to death as I said, kill the blooming bill. I'm going to tell you this, I've got three automobiles and a half a dozen pickups and under Senator Koch's amendment, I can buy a sticker for the first one and every other one goes in for a buck. Now I've got ten children and I can put each one of them in a car and take them down there and we can tear around the park system. I'm going to ask you this, what in the world is fair about allowing me to go in there in this manner and making someone else who has only one vehicle pay the \$7.50. You talk about the piggyback system; I don't care if you want to piggyback the thing on top of the Winnebago. Maybe that's alright, but if you've got that kind of a system as has been pointed out here, if you've got a \$15,000, \$20,000, \$30,000 vehicle and then you chug along behind with a blooming \$500 scooter, I don't think you're going to be handicapped for paying the \$7.50. I don't think it's that serious. I'm telling you again, someone's going to pay the bill. Someone is going to pay the bill. When you get there, as Senator Kahle pointed out, if you want sewer you pay extra. If you want electricity, you pay extra. If you want these facilities at the private campgrounds you pay extra. That's the only way they can make the system work. I don't believe that in this system we charge extra for those facilities; we've thrown them in. It's a good deal; it isn't a bad deal at all. I've no objections. If you've got the votes to amend the bill, amend the doggoned bill. But don't talk it to death. We've got other bills here to work on. I've talked way too much on it; it's not a priority bill with me. It's a bill that I took for the Game and Parks Commission and I'd take it again because I believe in the concept. It does not deserve all of this attention. There are dozens of bills if not hundreds of bills up there that concern me far more than does this bill. If the bill does not pass and if 109 does not pass and the parks do not have the funds they need to keep the facilities in the shape that people have come to expect, I've done my part. I took the tack that I thought was proper and I gave it to you and if you reject it, that's fine. No sweat of my back; hit the red button. As Senator Cullan said, we can try it again. If you get 25 tomorrow or the next day, God bless you, no sweat off my back. But let's not just piddle around with it; let's vote and move with it because that's what we're here for.