

on records. Adoption records, adoption records, they are not now public knowledge and can't be obtained that readily. You have to have special court procedures. So if there is something like this he wants to do, I would certainly be willing. The main point is, we want to have this information for statistical purposes.

PRESIDENT: You have one minute, Senator.

SENATOR BARNETT: John, please, I mean, you know, you answered my question. It is a matter of public record. At the bottom of page 31 and the top of 32 states so and I think we both know what that means. I think that the people who would want this might find it embarrassing if we make it a matter of public record. I think that what we ought to do, I think, as Senator Chambers has pointed out...

SENATOR DeCAMP: I want to correct you, Senator Barnett, that is not what it says.

PRESIDENT: Your time is up, Senator Barnett. Senator Shirley Marsh.

SENATOR MARSH: Mr. President and members of this legislative body, we are now talking about LB 38 but I would like to draw your attention to two pieces of legislation we have already passed this legislative session and that is LB 72 and LB 73, both measures have been passed by this body and both measures have been signed by the Governor into law. What did we do in those two measures? We took out of statute the requirement of what each line should say at the Bureau of Vital Statistics under the Department of Health. If we would adopt Senator DeCamp's amendment, we would be taking a step backwards. We are trying very hard to clean up the statutes and have those things out of the statutes which have no business being there. The blank he is trying to insert in statutes is one of the things we do not want. We do not want to spell out what each and every blank should say and then come back to change the paragraph number next year. I oppose Senator DeCamp's proposal.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: I also oppose Senator DeCamp's amendment. I think Senator Chambers had pretty well outlined its intent. This amendment is designed to harass and intimidate those who wish to have an abortion. If the goal was simply statistical, it would not require that the name of the person who is requesting the abortion be on the form. In our previous statutes, there is a reporting requirement. The physician's name is used but not that of the patient. If it was only to get statistical information, they could simply add one other line where the physician would sign that he had, in fact, provided this information. It would not require that the patient-doctor relationship be violated by having the patient sign the form. It is not statistical. The idea is to be the harass of those who desire abortions. It is a major violation of the basic principles we have had on doctor-patient relationships. It is a violation of privacy. I think Senator Chambers is right in saying it is unconsti-