

in "act". So there are really two parts to my amendment, one of which you're absolutely right on, I shouldn't have to ask for 25 votes. The second part of my proposal would require 25 votes. I appreciate your concern, and you're right, it's just that there are two parts to what I am trying to do.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Is it a proper time to speak or not?

PRESIDENT: We're back now debating the matter.

SENATOR DUIS: Thank you. At the present time I think we have Emory Burnett up here. I'm sure that instead of getting ourselves into sort of a discord here, let's just wait a couple of minutes and maybe we can get it all ironed out.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stoney.

SENATOR STONEY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. I really don't question what Senator Bereuter is attempting to do, but in my own mind I would like to know why the bill in E & R was amended the way that it was. I think we're entitled to know why. If we have logical reasons for the changes then this may, in my opinion, change the way I might vote on what Senator Bereuter is attempting to do. I just think that from the standpoint of clarification I would like to know why the changes were made, and should they be changed back. I just want an explanation for clarification so I'll be in a position to vote on this matter.

PRESIDENT: Do you want to direct your inquiry to anyone in particular, Senator Stoney?

SENATOR STONEY: No, Mr. President, because I think that they are attempting to go over it now. I think we'll have an explanation shortly. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Fine. Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Thank you Mr. President. I don't know the exact circumstance of this particular case, and I'm not concerned about this particular case as much as I am that someone could substantive change the laws or the intent of what somebody is doing and then coming back to this body and then suggesting it takes a vote of the body to do what they previously did. I would ask, Mr. Chairman, and I will draft that particular language to take before the Rules Committee so we have an understanding, that is the most ridiculous thing that I have heard. After five years here I've heard some pretty ridiculous things.

PRESIDENT: There is another way to do it, Senator Lewis, and that would be to request a machine vote on the proposed amendment and then defeat it. Senator Goodrich.

SENATOR GOODRICH: Mr. President, members of the body. I've heard some remarks made relative to E & R which I don't think, so far, are justified. I think we have a case here where Senator Bereuter is actually adding to the amendment of the E & R. In other words, he's got two parts as he explains. He should actually print this amendment in the Journal, let everybody take a look at it and come back on Monday or Tuesday