

March 29, 1976

SENATOR KOCH: Yes, sir, Senator Savage.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Senator, would this require the payment of the lobbyist fee, then?

SENATOR KOCH: Senator Savage, that would depend upon the rules and regulations that the Committee on Committees wants to endorse or support as to who shall pay certain kind of registration fees.

SENATOR SAVAGE: I think that is in the statutes, isn't it, where they rescind a person that doesn't receive remuneration?

SENATOR KOCH: Remuneration? I am certain that that would then make them conform to anyone else even though they may not be receiving remuneration and I think they should.

SENATOR SAVAGE: I do, too, and that is the reason I wanted to be sure it was in there.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: A question for Senator Koch.

SENATOR KOCH: Yes, sir.

SENATOR FOWLER: Senator Koch, you indicated that if this amendment was adopted in order for the act to have any meaning, I guess, or conformity, all the other cases where lobbyists or lobbyist agent is used in the act would have to be changed, is that correct?

SENATOR KOCH: That is correct, Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: And do you have an amendment to do that?

SENATOR KOCH: I would merely note that when this bill goes over to Final Reading they are going to have to harmonize certain terms that have been changed, and it would be to the bill drafter who does this that he would harmonize those terms as they appear throughout the bill and I would so make that a part of the motion, if it is necessary, and I did reply to that in my remarks.

SENATOR FOWLER: I would like to be sure that that is in the motion if it is possible to do that. Perhaps if the Clerk could pay attention a minute. Vince. Vince, I have a question. Senator Koch indicates that he is changing the language of definitions in the Act and he indicates that he would hope that wherever the terms are in the rest of the Act that they would be changed accordingly although that is not part of his motion. I am wondering if that can be made part of his motion, and if so, how should it be phrased?

CLERK: Are you not amending the definition section here?

SENATOR KOCH: Yes, I am. We are amending subsection 1 and 2 so it would then be harmonized...