

March 24, 1976

of this particular bill is to help law enforcement. If they've got a person, for example, that they've identified but they only have the drivers license of that individual a general description they can go to the Motor Vehicle Department, take the photo off of the license and circulate pictures of the guy and capture him ... a felon. Colonel Karthaus of the Highway Patrol has endorsed the bill. The Sheriff's Association, the Police Officers Association the negative retrieval is one of the most beneficial benefits that law enforcement can have. There are only eleven states in the United States that do not have this. I move the adoption ... the advancement of the bill.

CLERK: I have a motion on the desk, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: What's the motion?

CLERK: I move to indefinitely postpone. Signed, Senator Chambers.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature. This bill is converting a drivers license into an attempt to capture criminals, based on what Senator Goodrich said. Practically every document that a person has now carries a number and now a picture. When they want to introduce legislation to require a permission to drive on the highways to be method of capturing criminals I think it's absolutely wrong and improper. The drivers license is not an identification piece. It is not to help merchants who are careless about receiving checks. It's not for the financial community which is interested in creating more money through negotiable paper. I think to burden every citizen, not only with the picture on the license whether you choose to have it there or not, but to increase the cost of the application for your license and license fees is wrong. This is a tax measure. The ones who apparently lobbied it are the ones who put the material out. I got a packet here which I don't know if every member of the Legislature got, but one of the advertisements which is selling the product cost \$6.95. Now this is a hustle and a way to fleece the populace out of money if ever I saw it in my life. Let them sell their cameras, let them laminate passports, let them laminate anything that a person voluntarily wants to have a picture placed on. But you're required to have a drivers license to operate a motor vehicle. You should not, at the same time, be required by the state to help subsidize a private industry. These cannot be altered. You cannot do what I'm going to do here. You cannot take the front of the lamination off, you cannot take the back of it off and you cannot alter it. But if you really try hard you can do all of those things. If the intent is to capture the criminal the criminal mind is devious and clever and they'll find their way around a piece of laminated plastic. You could have a falsified drivers license. Somebody talked to me about a machine that the officers could carry and they'd put it under the machine to see if it's been altered. Well what you do then is convert every officer into a hunter when he's supposedly making a routine traffic check for somebody on the ten most wanted list of the FBI for somebody who may have cashed a bad check. I don't think that under the pretense of stopping