

March 11, 1976

The present law does not require people to lie and any change in the law does not require people to lie. Now those who do lie, they lie. That is all. They are frauds and they are cheats and they are liars if they lie on purpose or for some other reason, personal gain or whatever it be, they are still breaking the law and we all recognize that. What we do have facing the State of Nebraska, its employers and employees is a catastrophe in the unemployment insurance fund. It is necessary to put many plugs in many holes, and this is one of the holes that needs a plug and the Mills amendment is that plug. It does not penalize anybody because it prevents very easily administratively from payments being made twice. We recognize on this floor that people should not be paid twice, that the administration of the rules and the law allows this to happen now and then an argument insues to do away with the problem. The Mills amendment is straight forward that you can not apply for unemployment compensation if you have applied for Social Security as an example. It is real simple, and it allows the Labor Department to administer it that way and there is no way that that can be construed as a penalty for somebody that would apply for unemployment compensation. They are just not entitled to unemployment compensation and they should not be. It is necessary that we attack this problem of the unemployment insurance fund and that these loop holes and these administrative problems receive our attention and receive it positively as Senator Mills is doing in his amendment and I highly recommend this part of the amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Syas.

SENATOR SYAS: I only want to ask a question of somebody. Say that the person is on unemployed and is receiving benefits from that, and it isn't enough and so the some welfare agencies or something like that suppliments his income, is that getting double? Where does that stand in this amendment? It is a little confusing to me. Would this prevent that? Say that he gets such low wages, he has four or five children and the unemployment can support his family, he needs additional aid. Does this bar him from getting the additional aid or not?

PRESIDENT: Senator Syas I'm going to recognize Senator Mills next anyway. Do you want to address that question to him.

SENATOR MILLS: Senator Syas, I was visiting here, could you repeat it please? I heard most of it but I want to make sure.

SENATOR SYAS: Say that the person is drawing unemployment, and I just want to ask this question because I want to get it...he is drawing unemployment but it is not enough to support his family and they can not exist on it. Therefore the state or the county or some of these agencies come along and suppliment that unemployment. In other words they gave him extra. Some other items and all that sort of thing. Or pay his rent and so forth. Would that be a violation of what you are trying to do?

SENATOR MILLS: I think that presently as it is now Senator Syas and what we are trying to do really does not address that part of it. While I have the floor, I made a mistake