

March 9, 1976

thing that determines whether there is a balance or not is whether the Legislature can encroach on the power of the Judiciary or the Executive can encroach on the power of the Legislature, not how those bodies operate internally. It is the effect that one has on the other. Now I think that for a measure to pass constitutional muster, it should be very closely scrutinized. It should be rigidly analyzed because when a question is raised about the constitutionality of an act of the Legislature, the real issue is whether an enactment by this body is going to have the same status as the fundamental document which all the laws of the state are based upon. A legislative enactment does not have the dignity of a constitutional provision. An act of this Legislature can be repealed by this very Legislature through a motion to reconsider or a motion to bring back from the Governor or a bill to repeal it, even if the Governor had signed it. Any subsequent Legislature can repeal anything that a prior Legislature has enacted. The Constitution is different. It requires a vote of the people to modify it. The judges, whether you like an individual judge, and a lot of individual judges I have nothing but utter contempt for, and whenever they sit in court, their court is always an object of contempt, but that doesn't alter the fact that courts are to serve a certain protective function. They are to protect the Constitution. They in some cases are the last stronghold against the total annihilation of the rights of certain individuals and unpopular groups in this society. I feel that a Legislature should be restrained by the Judiciary and I think whenever a majority of the judges vote that a bill violates the provisions of the Constitution, that judgment should stand. I feel that I am going to see some judgments by the present U. S. Supreme Court which I am going to be totally opposed to but I lose one today by five to four, I will win one tomorrow by five to four, and I would not like to see the system changed merely to favor a certain position that I hold at a particular point of time. I am not going to be here forever but I have children who are going to be here after I am gone and they are going to have children, and in a sense, those children and their children will be an extension of me and I have to be concerned about the conditions they will live under even when I am no longer here and I think the makeup of a judicial system is very, very important, because although sometimes politicians become judges, we don't want the judges to have to become politicians and play numbers games. The pressures that can be brought to bear on every Senator here by your operating in a fishbowl and then talking to you in the rotunda and everywhere else is entirely different than the relatively secluded atmosphere in which judges can operate and make their deliberate decisions. I am totally opposed to Senator Murphy's amendment. I am in favor of the bill and I hope you will defeat Senator Murphy's motion.