

February 27, 1976

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Can't talk about the bill?

PRESIDENT: Certainly. The Chair recognizes Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Senator Dickinson has no explanation of the bill or...

PRESIDENT: You are recognized, Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Senator Dickinson, is it your understanding that the Director could participate in contracts with the District up to \$5,000?

SENATOR DICKINSON: Would you repeat that please, Senator Cavanaugh?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Is it your understanding that the Director could participate in contracts with the District up to \$5,000?

SENATOR DICKINSON: I believe that is my understanding.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: And you think that is a desirable policy?

SENATOR DICKINSON: I think that is fair.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Why is that?

SENATOR DICKINSON: Why isn't that?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Well, the man sits and decides...

SENATOR DICKINSON: No, read the amendment, Senator Cavanaugh, it also states that in these kinds of decisions, that person, man or woman, does not have a vote.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Why is it so essential that he be able to contract with the District?

SENATOR DICKINSON: It isn't?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Then why do we have the bill? It must be.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Senator Cavanaugh, will you please turn to page 2 of the original bill? Will you please turn to page 2 of the original bill? This will give you my intent but I see nothing wrong with the amendment. This was the intent of the bill. No Director shall be prohibited from receiving any payment or other form of consideration as a result of participation in a soil conservation program which involves land owned by such Director. Now, Mr. Cook, of the Natural Resources Commission, attorney, felt that there was need for more language to be put in there in other areas and the Committee accepted that. Senator Schmit has explained it.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: So your original intent was to let them engage in whatever transaction they could?