

February 26, 1976

SENATOR CLARK: Senator Warner, they have not been checked in actuality, I don't think, at all. Wait just a moment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Murphy. Oh, excuse me.

SENATOR CLARK: Eldon tells me they've had private discussions with former Senator Ruhnke and League of Municipalities and these people. However, this comes out before their budget time. They'll know what the law is. They're not going to be caught in the fall short like they were last time. Last time we had this problem with Douglas County.

SENATOR WARNER: That was my second question. There is no county which has a problem that is created then?

SENATOR CLARK: They would have no problem now. The problem before was the fact that it happened after the fact. After they had already budgeted. This time it will happen before they budget.

SENATOR WARNER: I understood that, but I wasn't sure they had the opportunity to express the concern if they had one rather than have it said after we adjourn. That was my question. But if they have been contacted, that's all I was wondering.

SENATOR CLARK: Yes. I move for the advancement of the bill.

PRESIDENT: Do you want to adopt the amendment you explained?

SENATOR CLARK: I'll adopt the amendment first.

PRESIDENT: Fine. You've heard the question. Record your vote. Please vote. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, no nays.

PRESIDENT: The amendments are adopted. Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: I would move for the advancement of the bill to E and R.

PRESIDENT: Discussion of the motion? Record your vote. Please vote. Record.

CLERK: 28 ayes, no nays.

PRESIDENT: The bill is advanced. We have with us a former State Senator, Elvin Adamson under the south balcony. Welcome back to the Legislature, Senator. Nice to have you here. LB 944.

CLERK: Legislative bill 944 introduced by the Appropriation Committee, signed by the members thereof (Journal, p 807).

PRESIDENT: Senator Clark, are you going to handle this one again? All right, the chair recognizes Senator Clark.

SENATOR CLARK: This is LB 944? What this particular bill does is give a cash flow rather than a commitment of money to the water pollution control of the sewage. Now, all this does is say that we're going to commit the money on a cash flow basis rather than put \$5 million or \$10 million in it and have \$1 million spent. Whatever the amount of money