

February 20, 1976

post secondary educational institutions not wholly owned or controlled by the state or political subdivision, thereof, if such aid is expressly limited to nonsectarian purposes." How are you going to expressly limit this aid to nonsectarian purposes at one of these schools?

SENATOR F. LEWIS: First of all, Mr. Chairman and Senator Chambers, the criteria for the grant in aid program is established by the federal government which has also, as you know, Senator Chambers, the prohibition in terms of separation of church and state and the federal criteria would be used. Senator Stoney raised that point before. I told him I would try to be absolute honest with him. I do not have that guideline with me. I can certainly procure it for you and I will.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That was what I wanted to ask you. In spite of what a guideline might say, you ought to realize that if a student goes to school, especially if it's a so-called liberal arts college, then there are a lot of....I don't know where that other voice is coming from but it is not mine. Thank you. Senator Mahoney said that I advocate evil principles but I didn't know that there were evil spirits that he could call...

PRESIDENT: They are after you, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right. But anyway, what I want to tell you that when you go to one of these schools they can intermix subject matter so that it is impossible to separate strictly that which is sectarian from that which is nonsectarian, and not as Senator Swigart and my good friend, Senator Mahoney, said, non secretarian. I saw that on Legislative Review last night. There is no way once a student enrolls in one of these schools to look over that students shoulder and watch what courses are going to be enrolled in, to monitor the content of the instruction, and should it be found to be sectarian in nature, somebody walk in there and take the student and yank him or her out of the course and say you can't take this because that violates the provision of this grant or this loan from the state. These are the things you ought to consider and this is direct aid to private schools. It is public money spent for, in some cases, a religious purpose and that is not proper. You also should look at what Senator Barnett offered in his amendment and I don't know if he is going to stick with it but this authorizes outright grants from the state. The state will give grants to these private schools. If that is what you are for, then you are going to vote for this amendment. But I hope you will at least think it through, and when you vote for it, you will know what you are voting for. You are voting for aid to private schools on the one hand. You are voting to allow the public schools to avoid the responsibility that the Legislature placed on them on the other hand. The schools will tell you we are not going to do what you tell us to do so you amend the Constitution so they won't have to do it anymore. Instead of that, why don't you just repeal the statute that you have passed? Why don't you do that? But to change the Constitution to allow a legitimate responsibility to be evaded by a political