SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Murphy, the Congress cannot destroy the Supreme Court by a statute because it was created by the Constitution, but who provides the appropriations that cause the Supreme Court to function?

SENATOR MURPHY: I am sure that the budgetary process in Washington includes that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Let's assume that the two-thirds legislatures agree to this, the Supreme Court received an action by two-thirds of these states to compel Congress to call a Constitutional Convention and Congress cut the Supreme Courts appropriations, what would happen?

SENATOR MURPHY: Senator, I would assume, I most certainly would most avidly hope that the people of this country would promptly remove every elected official from Congress who had any part in such a move and who had any part in denying the rights of the states under the Constitution.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: When you say remove, do you mean go there like Shays group of soldiers did, go right to Washington, D. C. and grab these scoundrels by the scruff of the neck and the seat of their pants and throw them out.

SENATOR MURPHY: It is a happy thought, Senator, but I am afraid we would have to do it at the polls.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay, thank you. Members of the Legislature, I can understand Senator Lewis' concern about this item because Senator Lewis does realize how significant it is and he knows very well that it is a profound piece of paper that is being considered here. Senator Luedtke is aware of it. There are some of the others of you who I think would do very well to listen very closely to what is being said. Now I am taking my position and the record is replete with my reasons for taking it. Now the thing you are doing today might be one of the most serious acts you will place as a member of this Legislature, regardless of how many years you have been here. You are starting in motion machinery that is going to do something which I favor, but let me make this comment, and then I am going to sit down for this time around. Senator George informed me that he heard on the news last night that George Wallace, the Governor of Alabama, is saying the same thing that I am saying, or I am saying the same thing he is saying, or we are saying the same thing that each other are saying that there ought to be a Constitutional Convention. Senator Murphy causes my other leg to become a bit shaky by telling me that Senator Hruska, the champion of mediocrity, wants the same thing. So if all men are known by the company they keep and birds of a feather flock together, I hope that in this one instance you will make a distinction. I have not been persuaded to take this position by Governor Wallace or Senator Hruska. I look at the profound implications of a Constitutional Convention whose aim ultimately might