

January 12, 1976

PRESIDENT: The bill is advanced. There's a motion, it's been seconded, that the Call be raised. All those in favor say aye, contrary nay. The motion carries. The Call is raised. LB 441 Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Read title to LB 441. There are committee amendments, Mr. President, by Senator Fowler's Urban Affairs Committee.

PRESIDENT: Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: The committee amendment deals with slightly different subject matter than the bill. The Bill Drafter indicated that this was the bill that this should be put on. Senator Duis brought the amendment to the Committee. I think he'll want to speak to it. What it does is provide for a means of accelerating certain payments on special assessments when they're in default. I think he can explain, more specifically, the situation in his community that lead him to bring the amendment to the Committee.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature. This amendment was brought to me by the attorney of my town in my district. Where the Statute required too much time to pass by on delinquent installments they wanted this reduced down to when three or more of them become delinquent and not paid that they could declare by resolution that those balances be due and unpaid and, therefore, start their foreclosure proceedings so that they may be able to get these installments in on time instead of having them go for a year or two. I think that's a good enough explanation of it, unless anybody has any questions.

PRESIDENT: Question is Senator Fowler has already moved.... The question is the You wish to speak further, Senator Fowler? ... the adoption of the committee amendments. Record your vote. Record.

CLERK: 27 ayes, 0 nays.

PRESIDENT: The committee amendments are adopted. Senator Fowler.

SENATOR FOWLER: The original bill deals with second class cities and villages that have the mayor and city council form of government. It's only a two page bill. On page 2, lines 19 and 20 is the whole substance of the bill. What this does is state that for removal of an officer within the city that, not only should the mayor move for the removal but, there must be approval of the majority of the city council. The result of this bill will strengthen the hand, I believe, of the appointed officials within the second class cities.