

May 9, 1975

siderably different. For example, any agency that relies on General Fund money will be cut. Any agency that relies on cash fund, revolving fund, or federal fund monies will not be cut. There is some real concern in my mind as to the net effect of this when you consider, for example, the University, welfare, anything else that depends heavily on the General Fund would take the cuts. Those that rely on revolving or cash funds would not take the cuts. I gave up this idea for that very reason. It wasn't treating the agencies equitably among ... as they relate to each other. There are some agencies that rely very heavily on General Fund money. This is taking a crack at them and letting the ones that cash fund, revolving fund, or federal funds get off scott free. I'm sorry I have to oppose the motion.

PRESIDENT: Senator Frank Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: I feel like I'm in Congress this morning. It's called authorization as opposed to appropriation. In trying to study the Bereuter proposal, I'm reminded of the fact that we're trying to cut the cost at this level and pass it on somewhere else. Two million dollars of this will be taken away from your local communities, or three.

I'd like to ask Senator Bereuter some questions.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Yes, Senator Lewis.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: The first thing you'll do is take \$1.6 million of state aid to education. Is that correct?

SENATOR BEREUTER: Yes.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: The criteria that you have put in for the reductions, does that also apply to schools?

SENATOR BEREUTER: The preparatory language?

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Yes.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Yes it would.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: On special education, that's also part of it?

SENATOR BEREUTER: Yes.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: We take, if my figures show me right, \$360,000 away. We put in \$1.6 million. We take out \$360,000. Are you familiar with the shell game? Where you take one pea and you put it under either one of three shells. You have people guess where the pea is. Do you know that game?

SENATOR BEREUTER: I thought it was a rhetorical question. Yes, I'm familiar with it.

SENATOR F. LEWIS: You have just implemented, if this amendment is adopted, the most sophisticated shell game that I've ever seen. I think that you have removed the pea. Mr. Chairman, I rise to oppose this motion. I know that economic