

April 15, 1975

and which now is being pitched and patched, and may not be able to be put together. So at this point, since you've given him that respect that you wanted to give him, you took what he offered you even though you had misgivings about it. You've defeated me soundly. You've done both of the things you wanted to do today. Why don't, now, you take the time, since we're on this bill and have debated it so long, and do what you think ought to be done with the bill. Put it in a form that gives whatever you think the people ought to be given. I have to explain why I said I wanted the bill to advance. A reality of operation in this Legislature is that a matter that fails to advance three times on General File automatically is killed. I tried to advance it once and it failed. With the confusion that exists about the bill in its present form people may be reluctant to do anything else with it and then advance it. If the bill got to Select File, at that time, I would renew the entire battle again. That's why I move to advance the bill. I made it clear that I don't like the amendment. I don't like any of the other amendments that were proposed. Now you're in the bind because you did this. If you feel, as has been indicated by some of the other Senators here, that the amendment, as it stands now, is unconstitutional you know that if what Senator Syas adds to the amendment you know that all it will do is say that the Board of Equalization can do something, but they don't have to do anything. I'd like to ask Senator Syas a question for further clarification.

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: Senator Syas do you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Syas, with your amendment now, if it were adopted, would the Board of Equalization have the power to set the credit wherever they chose?

SENATOR SYAS: This is strictly an opinion, Senator. I would say yes, that's why . . .

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's all I want. If they chose to set it at nothing, could they do that?

SENATOR SYAS: I think they could.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you Senator Syas. Members of the Legislature, I say again what I've said before, when we establish a structure, or a system, or a department, or a procedure we can't leave the proper operation of it to the good intentions or the goodwill of people we hope will administer whatever it is we're setting up to be administered. We have to build safeguards into the structure itself. Since this matter is being dealt with legislatively, I think that whatever does finally emerge from this body should have safeguards built into it to ensure that the intent of the Legislature is carried out. Obviously, the Legislature intends something other than what I intend. At least a shred of dignity may be salvaged. So why not, if Senator Barnett is willing to do it or anybody else, get in clear prospective where the bill is. If you don't want to amend it right now because you want more time, go ahead and advance it and then do all these things on Select File. I certainly don't intend to leave the bill alone myself,