

April 10, 1975

long question, but I guess a yes or no . . . if you would respond to that.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Senator Warner, I agree with your suggestion. I agree with your interpretation of the court decisions. I think the question of whether or not the comprehensive plan is outdated really depends upon the amount of change that has taken place in that community. In communities around metropolitan centers the growth is likely to be more rapid and, therefore, the comp. plan is likely to be outdated. In particular this will effect the cities in the metropolitan counties. I believe you're right that it is a cause for concern for Lancaster county villages.

PRESIDENT: Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, question of Senator Bereuter.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Yes, Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Specifically looking at the fiscal note, can you tell me, specifically, what these first class cities counties are going to spend the \$30,000 for.

SENATOR BEREUTER: It's estimated that there are four such counties in the state. The \$30,000 will be for the preparation of a comprehensive plan for the county and for the land use implementation tools that go along with it, basically subdivision regulations and zoning regulations. Of that \$30,000 it is expected that the maximum contribution that would be expected from these counties, and I can identify those counties if you're . . . if you desire, would be \$4,333. The other money would come from Federal money coming through the State Office of Planning and Programming.

SENATOR KELLY: So the \$30,000 might not . . . is not correct you're saying?

SENATOR BEREUTER: \$30,000 is correct, but I'm saying that only 1/3 of that \$30,000 would fall on the county.

SENATOR KELLY: You might ask for an amendment to that fiscal note then, because I'm reading that that's \$30,000 each, as a county responsibility.

SENATOR BEREUTER: That's correct, I'm sorry. I've . . . my arithmetic is bad. \$30,000 each, 1/3 of which would fall on each county as a maximum. That would be \$10,000 per county. The other \$20,000 would come from Federal sources through the State Office of Planning and Programming, if they chose to use it. All have, except one in the state.

SENATOR KELLY: We're having more English problems again. I'm reading that to be a total of \$120,000, with four counties each paying \$30,000 . . . or causing a \$30,000 expense.

SENATOR BEREUTER: Senator Kelly, the total cost for each county is \$30,000. Of the \$30,000, \$20,000 would come from Federal or state sources . . . Federal, excuse me . . . exclusively. \$10,000 would come from counties. Therefore,