

March 10, 1975

that these were carefully calculated when projected. I think that that speaks for itself when they are rounded off, several of them, to the nearest thousand dollars and I think you ought to question this. We felt, in Committee, some of us, that the claims of high costs were grossly exaggerated and I feel that the cost is much more moderate than projected by this sheet. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Senator Rasmussen.

SENATOR RASMUSSEN: Mr. Chairman, members of the body, as a member and Chairman of the Government Committee, we are constantly bombarded by campaign laws, open meeting laws. In other words, let the people know. Well, where do we draw the line? I say, let's go ahead with this bill. Defend the Nichol's amendment and move it on.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I rise in support of Senator Lewis' bill and I do it with the knowledge that there are persons who are going to be critical of me, personally, and of the body, if we advance the bill, because of the fact that the cities have operated and done so very well and very scrupulously and frugally, I believe, for many years but I would suggest as Senator Rasmussen has just suggested that no one...no one contends that members of this body are dishonest when they demand full disclosure under the lobbying laws. No one demands or contends that we are less than honest, they just say that we want to know. We want to know if you buy...if someone buys you a drink or takes you to lunch. We want to know if someone gives you a ride. We just like to have that information so that we can judge for ourselves. Well, I suggest that I have a bill in the Committee on Agriculture and Environment has introduced a bill, LB 404, which calls for publication item by item of expenses for the Natural Resources District and in order for me to be consistent, I must support LB 193 and I would suggest that if you people will carefully scrutinize expenditures, as the public does when they are published, that it can very easily result in a net saving to the subdivision. I would like to and I will point out to you when 404 comes to the floor some of the totally preposterous claims that have been paid by some of the districts. I do not contend this has happened in the cities but I am like Senator Lewis. Let's put everybody in or let's take everybody out. I have just as much confidence in my County Board as I have in any city council and I see no reason to require the county to publish, if we do not require the cities. When the time comes that we are going to go back into our cocoons, so to speak, and everyone operate on the spirit of confidence and confidentiality, then that is another story. But in this attitude that we have adopted recently and which seems to be prevalent among the population, I think that we have the responsibility to bring everyone under that umbrella and I would ask you to defeat the Nichol amendment, although I would suggest that it is not an entirely bad amendment. There are some advantages that the cities have and the cities could perhaps live better with this kind of an amendment than could some other