

March 10, 1975

tight and we need to budget our particular funds in the various communities, I feel that, as these people indicated, it would present an undue hardship so I am not in support or favor of this bill.

PRESIDENT: Senator Richard Lewis.

SENATOR R. LEWIS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I would certainly oppose these amendments. I think this body should be consistent. They insisted upon open meeting laws. They want everyone to have every right to know anything that is happening in the way of public business. In an effort to explain to myself whether or not these figures were actually factual, I documented from the books of some local newspaper men what it cost each month per resident in these towns and in these school districts for their right to know. Within the towns that I investigated, it cost the population 5¢ each per month for this right to know and if they included the entire school district, which in these rural areas does take in a greater area, it cost them 7¢ a month for this right to know. I think that the claims that this is going to be a financial burden are not borne out here and I certainly would support the bill with the Committee amendments and I'd hope you would vote the other amendments down.

PRESIDENT: Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, I, like Larry Stoney, am a member of the Urban Affairs Committee which heard this bill. I have a thought in regard to this, maybe just a little bit different. You know I feel as though I am a constituent of my local school board, my city council and my county commissioners and they are a constituent of mine on the state level so I look at these just a little differently than I do, probably, some other situations because they are political subdivisions and I am a constituent of theirs and I look at it as an individual would out in the area that we don't hear from in Committee. We don't hear, in our Urban Affairs Committee, the average citizen out in the county, the city and those places who might desire to have this information. So I try to look at it that way and I say to myself, why does the county and the school district have to publish all of this but the city doesn't. Is there any difference in the three political subdivisions or should they all be the same. So I've come to the conclusion that I believe that they should all be the same. Either they should all be in or they should all be out because this is a natural and a certain thing that there are quite a number of people who probably would be reading the claims in the paper that wouldn't be coming forth and saying so, but they actually do look at them. So I think the thing that is of real grave concern to me is the results of a survey on LB 193 which Senator Koch and Senator Stoney have put out and I would ask a question of where they got these figures and how they were compiled because I have this problem of wondering why, for instance, a city like Alliance, it is going to cost them \$9,500 more, and I would presume they are very similar in size to Fairbury and it is only going to cost them \$500 more and then I look down at Papillion, which is a pretty fair size place, and it is going to cost them \$858 less. Apparently, there is some reason for