

March 6, 1975

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, for what purpose do you arise?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, this question may be out of order to Senator Lewis, so I'll address it to the Chair.

PRESIDENT: State the question to the Chair please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Lewis, through the Chair, do you think what Senator Mahoney has said about who was getting all those benefits before and now something should be done for the people themselves would be applied when we come to the food tax?

PRESIDENT: That's out of order. The question is, shall the Legislature . . . this requires 30 votes because it failed to get the constitutional majority on Final Reading. Shall LB 98 be reconsidered? Record your vote. Have you voted? Senator Lewis.

SENATOR LEWIS: I know that's a rare possibility and it just ended.

PRESIDENT: Record Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 20 nays, 4 not voting.

PRESIDENT: Motion fails.

CLERK: Mr. President, I move to place LB 230 on General File not withstanding the action of the committee. Signed, Senator Cavanaugh. LB 230.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh, before you address yourself to this, at the request of Senator George we'd like to recognize the Webster County . . . or Washington County Extension Board, 14 people here. Welcome to the Legislature. Also Senator Hasebrock has some guests, the Dodge Public School, the 5th grade from Dodge, Nebraska in the South balcony. Also Senator Burrows has asked that we recognize and welcome a group of 20 of the Extension Club from Beatrice. Also Senator Jack Mills has a good friend he wants introduced, Frank Kjeldgaard from Big Springs. Welcome all of you to the Nebraska Legislature.

Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, LB 230 was a constitutional amendment killed by the Constitutional Revision Committee pertaining to redistricting of the Supreme Court. The committee killed the bill and reintroduced another bill to redistrict the court by statute. I think there's almost universal agreement that the districts into which the seats of the court are now drawn are inequitable, unfair, and poorly drawn. The problem is how to correctly deal with the situation. We've been trying to do it for two years, we've had an interim study. Senator Syas had grave reservations about the original 230. I have an amendment on your desk. The original 230 would have provided to have the districts at large by congressional districts, three districts, and have the justices also selected from these congressional districts. Senator Syas has legitimate reservations about that.