

January 20, 1975

CLERK: Mr. President. Legislative Bills read. LB 231; LB 232; LB 233; LB 234; LB 235; LB 236; LB 237; LB 238; LB 239; LB 240; LB 241; LB 242; LB 243; LB 244; LB 245; LB 246; LB 247; LB 248; LB 249; LB 250; LB 251. That is all, Mr. President. We do have some Committee Reports.

PRESIDENT: Proceed, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: Mr. President, your Committee on Enrollment and Review reports that we have examined and reviewed LB 6 and recommend that it be placed on Select File. Signed, Senator Dworak, Chairman. LB 7 placed on Select File. LB 8 placed on Select File. LB 9, Select File. LB 10, Select File. LB 11, Select File. LB 57, Select File. LB 58, Select File and LB 59, Select File as amended and LB 116, Select File. Signed, Senator Dworak. Mr. President, I have one more new bill introduced by Senator Rasmussen. LB 252. Read title. That is all, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Mr. Clerk, did you--have we covered the consideration of bills on Select File. Proceed. Having none. Motions to reconsider.

CLERK: None pending, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Motions to advance bills from Committee.

CLERK: None pending.

PRESIDENT: Other pending motions.

CLERK: None pending.

PRESIDENT: Unfinished business including messages on President's desk.

CLERK: None.

PRESIDENT: And I have none. Consideration of bills on General File.

CLERK: LB 36, Mr. President. Read, LB 36. There are no Committee amendment or other amendments pending.

PRESIDENT: What shall we do with LB 36. Senator Hasebroock.

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I move that LB 36 be advanced to E & R for review.

PRESIDENT: Any discussion or debate?

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: LB 36 is a bill that changes the composition of the six member public employees Retirement Board. It still consists of three participants and it was--there was no objection to it. In fact, the Retirement Board agrees to this sort of thing. The former statute says that there should be two board--two members that are members of the Retirement System, two that are outsiders, and then the other two it leaves in limbo. This would say that there should be three from the Retirement System and three non-members of the Retirement System or any members of a political subdivision. We feel this would give a better balance on the Board and there would be no chance of--that it might be balanced in one direction or another. This is a corrective measure. I think the vote, as you have in your statement with it, all of the members