

April 9, 1974

released to. Maybe someone will want to live in a teepee. I don't know. Some of them are now and will be stacked up somewhere in a rest home somewhere where they'll be confined three or four to a room. I don't know how an architect can design or make any pretense. I suppose this means geometric cube or rectangle or a box design. Now the rooms in the proposed construction at Beatrice that have been approved have been designed by the architect and have been approved by the Department of Institutions. Our rooms that are.... each is a segment of a hexagon. And I suppose you can call them pie shaped which is not true. The center of the hexagon, the center of the core is where the control is located. That's where the supervisor or the cottage mother or whatever it is will be located. Now, I think that....I won't go into this any more but I know if we don't get this bill brought back today and amended and get this straightened out, we're going to have another year's delay or more. And all the attendant losses of architects fees now expended and the additional cost caused by inflation and more architects fees. So I at....that we suspend the rules and bring the bill back.

PRESIDENT: Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Mr. President and members of the Body. I don't know if anyone's listening. This is one of the most important things that will be before this Body and I have a hunch no one's paying any attention. And I apologize for Senator Carstens because I don't think anyone heard half of what he said. Just for openers. I'm going to wait until all of the screaming dies down. Members of the Body, I would like to begin by stating that the amendment placed upon this bill was put in the Journal at least five or six days before it was adopted. I had no intention to do anything in any underhanded way. I did not know, the Budget Committee did not know, anything about the designs for these cottages until almost the very last day we met in budget when the blueprints were shown to us. I wanted Senator Carstens to hear this. And no one in the Budget Committee had been made aware of these plans. I would like to make it very clear that I was one of those who voted to build cottages in Beatrice. I am concerned that we have firetraps in Beatrice. I wanted those people out of those firetraps. I still want them out. There's nothing in my intent or I think anyone's intent who would have voted for this to prevent their building cottages in Beatrice. The question is, how much can you afford and what is reasonable and what is good for children? The bids that first came in on these cottages that we gave money for ran 35% over the estimate. The bids ran \$200,000 per building or over \$12,500 per person. I think the Budget Committee did not have this in mind when we approved this originally, not this kind of money. Number one. Number two, those buildings could have been built within a year had they followed the wishes of the engineer in Institutions. He had laid out a rough schematic drawing with relatively simple shaped rooms with the same general kind of room arrangement only with squares. They were in a reasonable shape. But the architect designed a plan that is so complex, I question how soon, even if the bids are let, if you were to reserve your decision, how soon the workers in Beatrice can construct such complicated plans. If you'll notice that the blueprints I passed out on the one side there is something that looks like a little corridor. If you look at that plan. That is actually a clear story over the top of the building and I would say that in a fashion it's not even a straight clear story, it's bent. Because the roof structure on this building has got about twenty folds in