

SENATOR EPKE: Mr. Chairman and members of the legislature I move that LB934 be advanced to E & R Initial.

SPEAKER: Any discussion? Ready for the question? Question is to advance LB934. All those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote no. Clerk will record.

CLERK: 31 ayes, 1 nay.

SPEAKER: Bill is advanced. What is the next bill Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: LB935 introduced by Senator Epke, read bill.

SPEAKER: Senator Epke.

SENATOR EPKE: Mr. President, fellow members, these two bills are tied together and all LB935 is doing is that it changes the language so that LB34 fits into the existing brand law and then it also raises the maximum rate for brand inspection from 25¢ to 35¢. I move LB935 be moved to E & R Initial.

SPEAKER: Any further discussion? Motion is to advance the bill. All those in favor vote aye, those opposed vote no. Have you all voted? Clerk will record.

CLERK: 34 AYES, 1 Nay.

SPEAKER: Bill is advanced, what is the next bill Mr. Clerk?

CLERK: Read LB825

SPEAKER: Senator Simpson.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President, members of the legislature I move for the adoption of the amendments. What these amendments do is to add a new section first of all and the first section would allow that when there was a neighborhood development going on that the city would have to have hearings and so forth with the citizens that were in that area and then they would have to certify to the federal government that they have done this or they could expend federal money or moneys that they would get in doing this. The second section is in addition to section four, which would say that the housing authority shall give all pertinent data on the past year to the city council prior to the time when they would put their budget into the federal government for their approval. The third section says that when there is a development within an

(End Belt #4)

(Start Belt #5)

area and people are going to be moved taken out of there homes that in the acquisition of this property they will taken into accord the relocation act, who would go out and allow these people have moving expenses and the cost or the possibility that they would have a comparable home to what they were being taken out of. This is the federal law as they use it now and we do use it in most other areas but federal government would be in accord with this. I move for the adoption of the amendments.