

March 22, 1974

SENATOR DUIS: Well, Mr. President, I just wanted to check because, if I understand correctly some of this money has come from the Governor's emergency fund.

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: Up to this point, Senator Duis, it is funded by the..as I understand it.

SENATOR DUIS: Yes. But the thing that puzzles me here, was that Senator Burbach, or some others had to increase his emergency fund. Did he veto this or.. He vetoed the increase in his emergency. Did you know what the reason was here, because here we are trying to put this money back in there, with the emergency fund bill, and he vetoed this bill.

SENATOR HASEBROOCK: I can't tell you that, but I think primarily and I believe that this particular agency should stand on it's feet.

SENATOR DUIS: Well, I would ask Senator Burbach if he might enlighten us here, because I'm a little confused as to..I shouldn't say confused but I'm perplexed as to why we had a veto, of a emergency funding bill, and then have a request for money which was taken from this emergency funding.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Jules Burbach.

SENATOR BURBACH: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature. Senator Duis in particular. I don't know under exactly what authority they are using this money from the emergency fund. As I understand the emergency fund, that was for emergencies other they were climatic conditions. Whether they be floods, or snows, or whatever it might be, but I question as you do the right or justification of taking funds from the emergency disaster fund and that's known as a disaster fund and I'm at loss also. I have not made a decision yet, and still have a few days time, whether we attempt to override the Governor's veto on that particular measure or not. But I think

(End Belt #7A)

(Start Belt #8A)

this would be a better out by correct appropriation than using that emergency fund however.

PRESIDENT: Senator Dickinson.

SENATOR DICKINSON: Well, Mr. President and members of the Legislature. I guess I have a question regarding this..I believe Energy Office was in operation about December 1st or before perhaps. And I'm wondering why this was not included in the Department of Revenue's budget as they were making their budget request to our appropriations committee. So then someone could have had the chance to know what the money was to be used for or what kind of personnel they expected to be hiring, etc. Now were just being asked a lump sum the \$325,000 without any information whatsoever. Can anybody answer that question, as to why this was not made as a part of the revenue departments appropriation's request from our appropriation committee.