

and whomever gave you that contribution should enter into it and it certainly would not be the 17-18 people involved in raising that \$27.50. Now when it is entered in the deposit ticket and then in your bank, there is an automatic audit trail available that \$27.50 came from Boy Scout Troop 27. Should anyone care to have further information it would certainly be available and would not be necessary to name every single boy scout. As an example the Republican Party would give to a candidate \$1,000. It certainly is not necessary to list all of those people who had a share in giving that \$1,000 to that candidate. It is very important that the Republican party be the name of the contributor to that candidate.

SENATOR BARNETT: I think that was misunderstood on the bill because it made it an almost impossible situation, that you can understand. They understood the bill that way.

SENATOR KELLY: Yes, I agree.

SENATOR BARNETT: I did, I'll admit that I did and that is one reason that I wanted it killed, because I thought that it was impossible. But if this is the way that you stated, and this is the way that it is in that bill, and the writing and the drafting is that way then with section 8 out of it and that correction in there, I wouldn't be afraid to bring it back and talk about it again.

SENATOR KELLY: Yes, and that is Senator Marvel's request of this body is to do away with these misunderstandings Senator Barnett.

PRESIDENT: Senator Mahoney.

SENATOR MAHONEY: Mr. President, I wish to ask Senator Kelly to yield to a question. Senator Kelly you have first of all mentioned that you would support the amendments that Senator Cavanaugh's and myself, Senator Fellman drew up which calls for a non-partisan elective group, which would be an organization that would monitor the elections, that would be correct is that not right?

SENATOR KELLY: Yes, I have not any objections to that at all Senator Mahoney. Senator....

SENATOR MAHONEY: Senator Syas not being able to be here today asked if I would speak on this bill because of his absence. What would your feelings be if there

(end belt #5)

(start belt #6)

would be an amendment which would take care of miscellaneous pay-offs. For instance, I'll give you an example. Say that in your neighborhood you had someone there a young person who wanted to help in the campaign, and you had your little flyers or cards and you ask them to cover a four block area, and say that you wanted to pay this person five or ten dollars, or one dollar, could it be possible that in your bill we could have an amendment that says a check wouldn't have to be written for such little miscellaneous items?