

March 18, 1974

There's just no need to include them in this. If that were the intent of the bill and everything it would be different. But as Senator Burbach expressed, the real idea behind this bill as I understand it, and I think I understand it to that extent has to do with the price of beef and the meat shortage etc. And we're getting off into a lot of extraneous things here that aren't necessary at all. And I wouldn't even sponsor this amendment except for the fact that the railroads are one of the most highly if not the most highly regulated businesses in operation today.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: As I understand is modeled or taken from federal anti-trust live. That was mentioned on the floor...

SENATOR PROUD: I understand more specifically, Senator, it was lifted right out of the Illinois law.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: O.K., but in Illinois...

SENATOR PROUD: There is nothing wrong with lifting something but...

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Perhaps you know more about it then.. but in the Illinois statute, do they exempt railroads?

SENATOR PROUD: It's my understanding they did. I do not have the varification right in front me, but it's my understanding they did.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: O.K. Thank you. Could I have a question of Senator Carpenter.

PRESIDENT: Senator Cavanaugh wishes to question Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Senator Carpenter is that true that this was...this is the Illinois statute?

SENATOR CARPENTER: It may be in part...the original intent was, Senator. In drafting this amendment you almost write it word for word with the laws of the Federal Anti-Trust Laws. And Senator...Mr. Rogers in the Executive Staff, made and the..pursued the thing and brought in the final amendment. And one of the ways we attempted to do that because we could take the benefits of the court decision, which had been made which was a result of the federal law. Now the Attorney General of the State of Nebraska testified before our committee that these Anti-Trust Laws have never been changed since 1906. 1906. And of course the railroads were satisfied with them because it didn't mean anything. Now when you get a bill up here that really means something, why of course then, they become dissatisfied. And they come at a late hour, they have known, and I have talked this bill to railroads and to number of times. And they in the beginning, I don't think they seemed very much wrong with it, but all of a sudden, I presume the front offices in Omaha said, this is a bad bill because it may affect us adversely. Well, that's true of any bill. Now this doesn't apply only to those people feeding cattle, and packing houses. This applies to every known business in this state and that's the intent of it. The intent was not only for cattle feeders, the intent was for any place where the