

January 24, 1974

CLERK: (See Journal page 393) 21 ayes, 14 nays, 14 not voting.

PRESIDENT: The motion has lost to suspend the rules. Do we have other business on the desk?

CLERK: Yes, we still have Senator Snyder's motion to withdraw LB901.

PRESIDENT: Alright, Senator Snyder?

SENATOR SNYDER: Well Mr. President and members of the Legislature. This is definitely the case of Chambers to Simpson to chance. I think the reasons are very clear why I have asked to have the bill withdrawn. I would just make one comment regarding the rules of this situation page 27 are very specific, I did not vote to take the other round, I voted to abide by the rules in this issue. But you might take note of this on the subject of withdrawal of bills, it says no bills shall be withdrawn without the consent of the co-introducers. I think there should be a correlating rule in this case saying that nobody should be allowed to go out a bill without the consent of the primary introducer. This is almost like another good case, in my opinion, where the beginners had the right to put the people who were the offense on the defense, like the bill that we threw out a couple of years ago where the people who stayed home from the voting booths got more credit than those who went to the voting poles because you had to have a 55% plurality to carry an issue. I can think of another good case like this, I think we know what we are going to do with this but I will just make my motion and we will see what happens here.

PRESIDENT: The Chair recognizes Senator Duis.

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, Senator Snyder if I might, the introducers of the bill by their not objecting to unanimous consent as the adding of a name, thereby gave their consent because...

SENATOR SNYDER: Doesn't the rules,

PRESIDENT: Senator Snyder you may respond. Stand by Senator Duis.

SENATOR SNYDER: Don't the rules state that it takes a machine vote to

(end belt #9)