

PRESIDENT: Lets hold down the confusion also on the floor of the Legislature, I think it is extremely disrespectful, if you have something to say, lets move off into the wings or something but there has been an inordinate amount of confusion on the floor this morning, thank you. Senator Warner, go ahead.

SENATOR WARNER: I don't think I need to comment too much on some of the comments that have been made, many of the speakers have already done so in answer to some of the questions or limitations of, in regard to in the minds of some of the Senators in regard to 472. I only want to mention these few things very briefly and quickly. I remind you that without 472, property taxes will continue unchecked, anything that will enhance adversely political futures is going to continue to rising unchecked property taxes. Secondly the, there is no question that has been pointed out, 172 is a stop gap but without 472, we create a very potential financial problem for the state in 1974 when the revenue sharing money will be reduced to 12.8 instead of the 2.25 years plus that is available this session with approximately 31 million dollars. I would suggest that 472 does represent a reasonable compromise, I acknowledge, as I said initially it is not the best solution and every member, several speakers have added to that recognizing that it is a complex problem. I would like to point out one more time however, the references to the initial state aid bill not having an impact on property tax, I would remind you that of that 25 million, there is appropriated '68-'69 school year, roughly 12 million of it went to replace the personal, correction, household goods tax and intangible tax which were repealed and were previous sources to the schools at 12 million was 100% property tax relief and happen to be personal property, household goods and intangibles but it was 100%. Another 8 million of that, roughly, was eaten up by inflation. There was about a million and a half tied up in a law suit and it left only about 3½ or 4 million of that initial money that was true new money to go for real property tax relief, but in spite of that it certainly did hold the line on expenditures for that year, the only thing that has occured of course is that without further increases in the state support property taxes continue to carry all of the bunt of increases in the cost of schools since that time. The only other question is out of the limitation and I would repeat that if you look back, 448 had a permanent one which was removed the following year. This bill has a two year limitation, it is obvious that each session of the Legislature will want to review and make changes as to dislimitation or to retain a reasonable and appropriate one there is no question in my mind as to what it will, I would hope that the members will support the passage of 472 so that the issue of equitable support for education and equal educational opportunities can no longer be the major issue that it now is in the state of Nebraska.

PRESIDENT: Question is, shall LB472 be passed notwithstanding the objection of the Governor, all those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote no. Question is shall LB472 be passed notwithstanding the objection of the Governor? Have you all voted, Senator Warner?

SENATOR WARNER: May I ask if all members are present?

CLERK: There are two excused, Mr. President.

SENATOR WARNER: I ask for a roll call vote.