

May 15, 1973

enough not to attempt to get into 259. If we would ever open that up we would have probably really done some damage. In this bill we've only raised \$3 million above what the Governor recommended we allocate for capital expenditures. Now obviously we didn't take his recommendation

(End of Belt #1)

(Begin Belt #2)

in the field of where he thought it ought to be spent. And that's understandable and I think that's what we ought to do. Now when you relate the \$3 million to any part of a sales tax you run out of even fractions. Now this body has the responsibility to project and to carry this state forward in the area of capital expenditures. We did hold the line so to speak on 259 because we did not so far, and I don't presume we will, amend 581. So we have confined ourselves to the recommendation of the Governor of this State in the area of general expenditures. We have kept our word to that extent, we have not gone beyond what he recommended, except the amount that he set in in order to change what he first recommended, which in the first place is about \$300,000 and he dreamed up \$300,000 more by a slight of hand which was admitted before the Budget Committee. Now I think this Legislature has done the realistic thing. The Judiciary Committee, for example, for a number of years have been studying penal reform and many other areas as many other committees have. Now we got to the point of life, my friends, where we've got to do something about it. I've complained many times we authorize what we don't fund. Now we've authorized and we've funded and this has been spread over a period of time where it's not going to create any tax burden at all on the people of this State and I don't think the people of this State are going to object to this bill in this form. Now it's true that some people object to the rather loose interpretation of the lack of understanding in the area in which we set a good deal of money yesterday. But that's not really unusual. We have to exercise our own judgment, we have to take the recommendations of those people who are available and very little of the amount of money we attempted to allocate yesterday could be put in the category of being irresponsible and looking at it as a whole, it's completely responsible. Now I'm not, as I said yesterday, I think that the body has the right to exercise its own judgment collectively. I don't think we're bound by what the Budget Committee recommends or any other committee of this Legislature to the point we can't reconsider it. This is a democratic body. That's our responsibility. We realize, let's take the banking bill as an illustration. Now that came in and out of the Banking Committee like fiddler's elbow and we got it out on the floor and it's still indeterminate, in suspension. This is a matter of a collective judgment, do we want to change it? Do we want to use or exercise our own judgment which has been influenced in this case and every other case by those people who have a vested interest? That's the way we live. I think this bill ought to be advanced onto Select File and onto Final Reading and I think if the Governor lines it out or vetoes the bill and I question whether, if he does, this body's got the votes to override him and they ought to override him. We ought to stand up for what we think is right and not forever be concerned about some irresponsible statements which have the only political effect of trying to exercise and to disturb the minds of the citizen in an area which doesn't exist. And I don't think the people are against what we've done yesterday and which I hope we'll do today and on Final Reading. I would hope we would advance the bill and those Senators who don't like the bill vote against it on Final Reading and if the Governor Vetoes, I'm sure we're going