

May 14, 1973

(Start Belt #28)

then I think we can give you an answer because part of, part of the answer to the question depends upon how much of this has an impact this next year, how much has an impact the following year and so on. A lot of the, a lot of the penal reform amendments have an impact a year from now rather than the next fiscal year but as soon as we get the amendments we can give you a picture of them and I know of no other way to do it at the moment.

SENATOR PROUD: Thank you Senator. I think you're absolutely correct. It's going much too fast even for the Budget Committee and therefore, it is my present feeling that I'm certainly going to vote against advancing this bill.

SPEAKER: Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: Senator Proud, you said it was the duty of the Budget Committee to speak out on this issue and I'd like to point out one thing that perhaps you are not aware of. In most the measures contained in the budget bill there were pro--what is call program statements developed for the buildings. Now, namely, the Law College, the Life Science Building, the Library at UNO and on and on and on. There were program statements, the cottages at Beatrice, etc., over one year's time these statements were developed and presented to the Budget Committee and when they were so presented, we then had a time to say whether we favored in the shape it was or to what modifications, whether we thought it should be enlarged or cut back or somewhat modified and in many instances this is what we did. We did not adopt the program statement, for instance, on the University carte blanche, what they wanted for the Life Science building. There were some modifications. We did not adopt what they exactly wanted at the Library at UNO. There were some modifications. We did not adopt exactly what the Governor, for instance, recommended at Beatrice. There were some modifications. What we adopted this all in one fell swoop though and that effect is and that is why I did not adopt--vote for any of these amendments because I do not feel that I'm adequately informed. When we adopt them in one fell swoop, we are going to be set with that dollar amount. There won't be changes in the future. In other words, fiscally we take it out of the hands of the Budget Committee and basically out of the hands of the Legislature, from that point on it's up to the Governor and it's, it's on its way. We have no further input and in the past basically what we've tried to do is have one year's input where we could have some control over whether we think the, the program statement is adequate, or inadequate or should be modified. When we'd adopt this in one fell swoop, we give up that prerogative.

PRESIDENT: Any further discussion of the Mahoney amendment? Senator Stahmer.

SENATOR STAHMER: (mike off)--add that Senator Kremer pointed out that we did adopt money for the Animal Science Research Building, only for its study and he came back, said to me, when we do this for the prisons, couldn't we just as well do it for the animal life--for research building and I had to say yes, I mean it's the same thing. We try to have some kind of not only priorities but develop plans that have that do not either get too big or too small and do what we think is the best job. Once you adopt all the money, then