

objections? Hearing none, so ordered. The bill is held. We'll bypass 545 move on to the final reading of LB 573.

CLERK: Legislative bill 573, introduced by Nebraska retirement systems committee, signed by Senator Whitney, F. Lewis, Luedtke, Hasebrook and Goodrich. A Bill for an act, (Read)

PRESIDENT: Do we have any resolutions on the desk?

CLERK: Mr. President, we have a motion on the desk. I move that LB 452 be passed into law, not withstanding any objections of the Governor, signed Senator Marvel.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Marvel.

SENATOR MARVEL: In case you didn't hear the motion, this is an attempt, Mr. President, members of the legislature on the part of the majority of this legislature, to, this is an attempt without trying to cast any reflections on anybody. This is an attempt on the part of the majority of this legislature who have voted to support this bill through it's three stages to attempt to determine how to convince enough of you to vote for this bill, so that we can get 30 votes to over ride the Gubernatorial veto. Now without trying to take alot of time, I'd like to stress two points. There may be others who wish to add some other information. First point I'd like to make and I think it is a key point, it has to do with the information gap that is present in the State. Now when we talk about the fact that the legislature lacks adequate information, we're not talking about volume, we're talking about the kind of information which we receive. That is what I mean at least by information gap. Now this gap is not only present now, but it has been present for a number of years. Now there is only two sources basically, that make this information available to you and me so that we can make adequate decisions. One is the accounting division, and the other is in the area of computers. This is where we get our informaiton. Now I've quoted this source before, but I'd like to quote it again, because I think the burden of proof rests on those who, of the majority are supporting this nature and I go back to the State auditor's report, in the letter that I wrote to the Governor on the 28th of Marsh, 1973 and I would like to repeat at least 2 points, because I think it is important. The first point I'd like to make has to do with the number seven criticism, now mind you that when I read these two points, we're reading from a report that was supported by two key members of the department of administrative services. The State accountant Mr. Byerly and Mr. Kovaly who is the director of the data processing system. So this is not a stacked committee. This is a committee composed to a great extent of those who have information in this area, and they indicate without reading all of this, that there is and has been a lack of communication, coordination and education between this department that is set up for services in the agencies. Second point they make, is the fact that the department of administrative services has been in operation for 7 years. Set up for services to provide accounting and budgeting information. That is what the statute says it is supposed to do. Now these people who are skilled in this area, indicate that this department has failed to achieve these functions and there fore in their final statement they say it is our judgement that the State can not afford the absence of a strong sound centralized, uniform, integrated budgeting and accounting system which we don't have now. So my first point to you, is that how is this legislative branch going to be effective if we can't have direct access to the raw date. The raw information. Now there are others who will give examples, of what we mean by adequate information, because this is a rather serious statement to be made. I would like to make only