

lot of costs later on in presenting constitutional amendments to the people in response to demand for such amendments and then when the people have adopted these amendments, that we ignore them and piddle away our time here with a lot of other trivial matters and completely ignore the direction of the people and they adopt constitutional amendments and I'm not going to pass over into, into limbo this constitutional amendment on 110 and based on that, I will withdraw my motion temporarily until Thursday or Friday until we have other--

SPEAKER: Any objections to that? Senator--one second please, I'm not sure he's still here but one of Senator Lewis', Frank Lewis' constituents I would like to recognize, Jay Gloebe, he's President of Bellevue High School Student Council. Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Mr. President and Members of the body. I think I would like to object to Senator Carstens' withdrawing--I don't see that--the correlation or the relevancy between whatever the budget committee is willing to recommend to this body or take under future study in the area of capital construction relative to this issue that's before us today and time is slipping away from us. I don't have any personal assurance that, that we can rely on anything this body says it will do two or three days from the day it says it'll do it. This issue is of unquestionable merit. We have a mandate from the people in the form of constitutional amendments. We have a compelling responsibility to implement that amendment. We're talking about \$13,000 here. If that amount of money has any impact whatsoever on the \$18,000,000 or \$20,000,000 that we're talking about in the area of capital construction and State aid to education, I can't see it. This is an issue that must be dealt with; that'll have to be dealt with and I don't see why we can't deal with it today. It has no relevancy to anything other, anything else and it stands on its own merits and its own merits are extremely compelling in order to assure the con--continuous perpetuation of our judicial system here. This bill has to be passed and it has to be passed soon because there is substantial question as to whether any judicial appointment in the absence of this legislation will be, will be valid and so I don't see, I would, I would hope that Senator Carstens, I won't push the matter and I'll withdraw my objection, but I would hope that Senator Carstens would reconsider. I think he's right and I think he ought to take it today./

SPEAKER: Senator Cavanaugh, the Chair would suggest, we have other motion, another motion or motions on the desk with bills regarding fiscal impact. Now to clear the air a little bit and the Chair has no desire whatsoever to delay anything, I assure you, the Chair would suggest that we give the Appropriations Committee just a little time here to come in with some kind of recommendation because we're in a state of confusion here. We're going to spend all morning on this. There is nothing that we can't take up tomorrow plus the fact that, and I hope Senator Duis is listening, we do have a problem here on the rules. There's no question in my mind about that because Senator Duis maintains and with some justification, that where the A bill follows, then there has to be a suspension of the rules, not to take it up, but this may discriminate against bills which are purely appropriation bills that do not have an A measure so I think we should have the Rules Committee or Senator Duis and the Appropriations Committee back in with a report tomorrow and then go from there or otherwise, we're going to be arguing all morning on this point. What's your reaction to that? I mean, other words, Senator Carstens just is agreeable to delaying a day and I understand your eagerness to take this up now but we're going to get into this kind of thing all morning long and I think we should have some guidance from--or report from the Appropriations Committee what we want to do about these things. Senator Chambers.