

April 19, 1973

one comment to make before I sit down. Everybody says the Governor can bring in another bill. There is no assurance that it would be accepted because the Legislature is going to do what I wanted to see done to him on another occasion which was trample him into the dust but I don't want to see that happen now at the expense of the welfare of the State. And I find myself in what I consider an untenable position. Not trying to sound like a school teacher, a conservative politically is one who tries to maintain the status quo and keep the system operating as it is designed by statute and law to operate. The conservative conserves the law and keeps things functioning as the law says. I find myself in a position of saying that we should follow the procedures that have been established in the Legislature, not only here but every legislative body of thoroughly and completely discussing appropriation measures and not hiding from them. Completely discussing serious issues until they have been totally thrashed out even if in the process some of us wind up feeling thrashed out. But why should the Governor, back to that issue, be asked to bring in a bill incorporating amendments when he has offered amendments and they are being rejected.

(End of Belt #7)