

April 5, 1973

clarified. Most of the bill deals with these clarifying changes and matters which would improve the operation, as I said, of the courts as, pursuant to LB 1032. If the body wishes, it wouldn't take me very long, I'll go through the section, section by section to just tell you what the amendments do take care of so if you'll look at the amendments themselves on the white sheet in your book. The first one amends Section 11-119, reducing the amount of the individual bond for county judge from \$25,000 to \$5,000; removes the requirement for individual bonds for associate judges and clerks. The reason for this is that it will not only save thousands of dollars in bond premiums, but it is totally unnecessary because as State employees, the associate judges and clerks are already covered by a blanket bond on all State employees so there is absolutely no need for these kind of individual bonds and the Insurance Department which administers the bonding of State employees, fully agrees with this position. Section 2 merely renumbers sections. Section 3 is the same as the explanation which we would make with Section 5 of the bill. It amends the section dealing with the jurisdiction of county courts and adoptions which clarifies matters relating to adoptions under th--some lawyers feel that there are some sections in the law which should more clearly spell out how adoptions are to be carried out and this merely does it. Some of us who were involved in drafting the original LB 1032 didn't think it was necessary, however, if they feel that it will better clarify, we have no objection to putting it in. Section 4 adds four sections, amending-- we add four sections under that which amends to permit adoption proceedings to be delegated to associate judges, amends 24-524 to permit a county judge to witness the execution of the small claim. I think you can see the practical effect of this with the small claims court. Section 24-533 is amended to require cities and villages to have current copies of ordinances on file with the County Court. This was endorsed by the Attorney General incidentally, and you can see why it's necessary because we have abolished the municipal or city courts and therefore, this is handled by the district county courts. Section 24-543 as amended to provide that an appeal bond must be in the amount of the judgment and costs. Heretofore it has been double that amount and has been no reason shown why there had to be double the amount so that has been made just to cover the judgment and costs. Section 5 renumbers the section. Section 6 adds to Sections 25-1521 and 1522 which eliminates the obsolete provisions for determining the value of property seized on execution and also, by the way, eliminates the 5-man jury at .50¢ per day which we found was a rather archaic provision in the law which no one has ever caught up with in all these years so we finally determined we ought to get rid of that; .50¢ a day, get that! Section 7 renumbers sections. Section 8 adds Section 29-504 dealing with preliminary hearings and eliminates the language which was carried over from the Justice of the Peace days. This was missed in LB 1032. It also adds Sections 29-2701, 2,3, 4, 5 and 9 removing obsolete matter and clarifying the billing of costs in both misdemeanor and juvenile cases and then Section 29--2709 rewrites it and permits the judge to waive basic costs or to assess them against the city or county when they can't be collected from the defendant. Generally this eliminates court billing counties for costs which then would be sent to the State and so it eliminates a lot of double billing and a lot of double trouble with regards to collecting costs. The change will eliminate paper work and it will certainly simplify the proceedings in the court. It also adds Section 33-140 to clarify the payment of jurors' fee since we now can have 6 person juries. Counties will be responsible for those fees in county courts; Cities of Omaha and Lincoln in the municipal courts and although there have been few, a few complaints about the counties paying this, they have always paid for jurors in the district court so there