

April 7, 1971

the great compromise, but it seems to me that this makes it far more complicated. We've watched and we've tangled and changed the way that the Commissions operate for the nomination of Judges. Senator Carsten, here, suggests the possibility of putting political party distinction in here. I'd like to know, other than the sake of a compromise, or a compromise for the sake of a compromise which I would respect, what you feel the real advantages are to the amendments as you proposed them.

SENATOR BURBACH: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, in response to Senator Fellman's question, the other day I did not speak to the issue. I felt very badly the way the discussion was handled and the trend that it took. Charges were made against fellow members for being conceited, for being vindictive, and all the other charges, partisan politics and all were involved, and I felt that something needed to be done about LB 452. I was not chosen as the compromiser. I took this responsibility on myself because I felt, as I indicated, badly. I do think, and in more direct answer to your question, this does not complicate. It separates those two services. It uses a Commission for the operation of one. They will appoint one individual. The Governor will retain his authority when he has been offered three names to select one

(End of Belt #4)