

March 21, 1973

to this coming out of Committee with the Committee amendment on it, I will vote the same as I did in Committee to advance the bill. If he is going to arrive at this different way of bringing it out here without the amendment on it, then I will recommend that it not come out here because it needs some of these amendments and we can't take part of it off. Now, if Senator Waldron would answer that question, we will know where we are.

PRESIDENT: Senator Waldron.

SENATOR WALDRON: Let me respond to that. I don't know what the procedure is. I am quite willing to follow the procedure that we have followed in the past year in this regard and if someone will tell me what we used to do, I'll be right off, but I want to say something further, Senator Syas, before we go. I think that if we follow the procedure and maybe we have in the past and if we haven't, fine, but, anyway, if we do follow the procedure where the amendments follow a bill, a Committee that doesn't want the bill can go ahead and put all kinds of amendments on the bill and then when it is brought to the floor of the Legislature you are stuck with those amendments. Also, I want to say some of Senator Duis', no reflection on him because I know he understands what he is trying to do, but some of the amendments will make the Legislature appear to be ridiculous, and I would hate to see that brought to the floor that way. But, I'll abide by what's been the policy in the past and Senator Syas knows that policy.

SENATOR DUIS: Well, in answer to you, Senator Waldron, your thought of ridiculousness may be different than someone else's. This arrived at some attorney who wrote a rather sordid review of my amendments and upon consultation, I had understood they wished they hadn't of written it. Now this is perfectly fine with me. I do not in any way intend to make this Legislature ridiculous, the Committee, you, or myself. I am trying to do what you say. You say this is a "no-fault" bill, and I want one or two things. I either want it designated as a different method of writing insurance where a person is remunerated for a loss of time or I want it a "no-fault" bill. Now this is the situation and I think, probably, now if we may have a ruling from the chair as to whether or not this bill will come out with or without the amendments, I'll be able to answer your questions, Senator Proud.

SENATOR PROUD: May I make a comment here.

PRESIDENT: Go ahead, Senator Proud.

SENATOR PROUD: It seems to me that a Committee that writes amendments which we do all the time here is nothing more nor less than a recommendation that these amendments be adopted. They are not written into the bill by the Committee and certainly that Committee can make those recommendations any time it wants. I would assume that under our Rules that any bill that is raised from Committee comes to the floor as the bill is written. Personally, Senator Duis, that makes no difference to me. As far as I personally am concerned, if it comes to the floor with your amendments that's all right, but it is just a matter of Committee recommendation. Either way, the Committee...let's just assume for a moment it comes to the floor without the Committee amendments, as such. Then, of course, there is nothing to stop the Committee whatsoever from making a report and asking for the adoption of the amendments.

SENATOR DUIS: I think that is not quite correct, Senator Proud. The committee amendments are recommendations which are either adopted or rejected on the floor and I feel as though they should accompany the bill to the floor because they are Committee amendments. The same thing takes place