

March 26, 1973

increase benefits. That it will, but it fails to meet the standards that we can easily see are coming down the road and even if we want to say that we're not interested in standards, I think we should look at some specific individual cases. I would point out to you the case of the widow. I don't think any of you, I think widowhood might rate alongside motherhood as being something that few of us would be against or want to hurt and it seems to me we should want to protect and help the widow and I would point out to you gentlemen and ladies in this Legislature, that under L.B. 342, the widow is left in an impossible position of deciding whether she wants to live in sin or cut off her benefits under Workmen's Compensation and I don't think that's a fair decision for this Legislature to foist onto the people of this State. You have completely forgotten in the debate this morning, the widow benefits that are allowed under L.B. 193. It seems to me if there should be amendments or compromises in dollars the place to do it is to start with 193 and maybe compromise down and reduce the dollar figure. There have been, there were expressions that this is going to cost too much. According to figures that I have received, taking an average retail employee earning \$4,000 which would be a part time worker or a low salaried worker, the cost to the employer is an additional .27¢ a month. Now, I grant that in, this goes up as wages goes up and it certainly goes up as risks go up. That person who is engaged in a dangerous occupation pays a higher rate but I don't think that we are foisting upon the people of this State who have to pay this bill a cost that is at all unbearable and we are, if we look at the people who get the benefits of this bill, we are denying them proper benefits which they deserve. We, I think, are making a mistake when we say that 342 is good enough. What we should do is say, take 193 and possibly reduce the dollar amount. Again I point out and I would ask you to look at the lack of provisions for the widow and I would like you to face the widow in your district who is cut off of benefits under the present law, and who remains cut off under L.B. 342 and tell her that you voted against funds to feed herself and her children.

PRESIDENT: Chair recognizes Senator Mahoney.

SENATOR MAHONEY: Mr. President and Members of the Legislature. I'll be very, very brief in saying that I think that Senator Fellman has hit the nail on the head as far as this is concerned this morning and there is no problem with the fact that this cannot be negotiated and 193 can be brought back or placed in some area in which we could study it for a day and have the dollar amount brought down but I think this is too good of a bill to destroy here today. It's a bill that has built in things that are coming anyway. It's a bill that we should be willing to share with the workers of Nebraska and I think that Senator Cavanaugh, probably a little later here in the discussion this morning, can bring this bill and bring it back and perfect it so that the dollar amount can be brought back to the area in which the objectors here this morning will be satisfied.

PRESIDENT: All right. Senator Marsh. Well, stand by, we, we're, realize here, we're debating two bills and we have amendments on both and we're going to have to go back and forth and that was the will of the Legislature. Chair recognizes Senator Kelly.

SENATOR KELLY: Mr. President and Members of the body. Is it time now to consider the motion to indefinitely postpone 193?

PRESIDENT: Stand by. Senator Duis, did you wish to be heard again. We're still talking on 342, my understanding, and when we're all through with that, we're going back to 193 and we're going to go through the process then of the bill.