

March 20, 1973

2-6346-519³

SENATOR CLARK: Well, we're not talking about 60 years right now, we're talking, from \$45 we're going up to \$89 at the present time. I, I think if you really want to get something clarified, ask Senator Duis. He's an expert on it. I don't think anyone else is in here. He sells the stuff. He knows what it is.

PRESIDENT: Senator Murphy is next to speak, unless someone wants to direct a question to Senator Duis. All right. Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: A question of Senator Syas.

PRESIDENT: Senator Syas, will you yield?

SENATOR MURPHY: Page 9, line 25.

SENATOR SYAS: What bill?

SENATOR MURPHY: Yours, 193. Would I ask you about Senator Clark's? You have struck the method in which payments can be commuted and you have substituted no replacement method of settlement. I wondered if it was meant to be left that way or if there was an oversight in the bill?

SENATOR SYAS: I couldn't answer your question on that. You better ask Senator Cavanaugh. He's more knowledgeable on-- he heard the bill in committee--more knowledgeable on the bill than I am.

SENATOR MURPHY: If you would, Senator Cavanaugh.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: Uh, let me look, what is your question again?

SENATOR MURPHY: Page 9, line 25, the provision to commute payments, the method was struck and there was no substitution made. It leaves it hanging at the end, payments may be commuted. How, when, to whom? A second question, if I may, relative to the same bill. The formula says--

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: This pertains, this section pertains to alien dependents.

SENATOR MURPHY: Yes.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: And we strike the section saying--

SENATOR MURPHY: That the payments may be commuted within the first year by a specific method. You've struck the method and substituted nothing.

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: I don't see, I don't see where it says that. Yeah. You mean by paying to them two-thirds of the total amount of such future installments of compensation, is that--

SENATOR MURPHY: Yeah, yes, and you've substituted what? A second question while we're on it. I don't mean to delay this. In the formula approach you have taken the payroll from the amounts paid calendar year to the State, declared to the State under the State Employment Division but you have divided them by a formula of workers called the insured. Where do we get the insured figures and what does it constitute and I ask it in the context that you're talking about people insured under Workmen's Comp, what becomes of the self-insured Workmen's Comp employer?

SENATOR CAVANAUGH: O.K. Now, I'm not sure on the first question--I wouldn't, as you once said, if I don't know the