

February 12, 1973

SPEAKER: There are two bills bracketed. Apparently, there are no bills on Select File. Are there any motions to reconsider?

CLERK: Yes.

SPEAKER: Senator Whitney, will you please come up here and assume the duties of the chair?

CLERK: Mr. President, a motion on the desk. Read.

SPEAKER: The chair recognizes Senator Proud.

SENATOR PROUD: Mr. President, under the Rules this is the last day for reconsideration. I should make a motion for reconsideration of the partisan Legislature bill and I would like to take this motion up later. I have five days to take it up. I ask for unanimous consent.

SPEAKER: The motion shall be laid over.

CLERK: Mr. President, a committee report. Read. That is all, Mr. President.

SPEAKER: Are there any other pending motions.

CLERK: Nothing.

SPEAKER: We shall now go to General File. Take up LB 243. Senator Murphy.

SENATOR MURPHY: Last week, Senator Proud led me through a new legislative procedure and now I'm afraid he's leading me through another one that I don't fully understand either. I wonder if I could have clarification of this latest move that again postpones this action that I thought, as an amateur, had been settled last week.

SPEAKER: Well, Senator Murphy, the move to reconsider must be taken up within three legislative days and then the motion needs to be taken up within five legislative days at the discretion of the introducer of the motion and then at that time it would take 30 votes to reconsider a Constitutional Amendment at the time of reconsideration. Does that answer your question?

SENATOR MURPHY: It probably answers the question. I'll sit down and try to understand it.

SPEAKER: It's in Rule 7, Section 7. It's on page 39 of your Rules book. The clerk will read the title.

CLERK: Read title. LB 243. There are no committee amendments or other amendments.

SPEAKER: Are there any committee amendments?

CLERK: None.

SPEAKER: The chair recognizes Senator Warner.

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President, I move that LB 243 be advanced to E & R Initial. The purpose of this bill is to remove the statutory limit on the salary for the Director of Health. You may recall that some two...about four sessions...two sessions ago, four years ago, we removed statutory limits on a variety of co-department heads with the control to remain in the Budget bill under the limitation on Personal Services or a limitation specifically on the department head. There is a feeling on the part of the Board of Health that the present statutory limit tends to work in two ways. One that when they are hiring a new man it tends to be the limit that the new man thinks he should start at, but it also then serves as a maximum which no change can be made and it seems to me that keeping the