

February 7, 1973

20 years, because, the reason is I think that I'll lose the bill on this open ended. That's the reason, I'm a practical person and know about how far to go generally in this Legislature and I don't think I'd pass the bill this way.

SENATOR NORE: Now, Senator, said something about legislative intent. Serving on the Budget Committee, I'm beginning to wonder that Legislative intent is something like snowflakes on a hot summer day. It's soon forgotten and there isn't much you can do about it if some school board decides to overstep in spending for capital improvements. There is nothing we can do to stop it if it's intent it's only, it doesn't mean too much so again, I certainly urge you to leave Senator Carsten's bill or statute as it is, as he had it introduced the last session and passed here. I think it's good. It's for 5 years but I certainly urge you to leave a good statute be and not meddle with it and leave an open end. An open end would just absolutely be disastrous in some school districts.

SPEAKER: Chair recognizes Senator Burbach: Still speaking on the motion to indefinitely postpone L.B. 197.

SENATOR BURBACH: Mr. President and Members of the Legislature, at the moment I do not plan on supporting the kill motion because I believe there is an opportunity that Senator Syas, Senator Lewis, offering to us a 10 year limit. In regard to the statement Senator Lewis made about it is not planned or not desired to build, capital construction, the huge school building and so forth, if this is the case, the bill does not provide that there shall be an exclusion so therefore, we must assume that this is a possibility of something that may happen. If necessary to spell this out, we maybe need an amendment to say not more than \$100,000.00 or some other suitable figure so we would not have this problem even with a 10 year program. And a 10 year program in itself does not allow for a huge expenditure of funds because it could not be amortized this fast but I think it's important that we recognize that we do not get into the building of huge buildings, the school that is necessary for a community, this route, the bonding route, is the better of the two. So I believe it's proper that we vote down the motion to kill and accept a 10 year amendment or a dollar and cents figure because we are in a state of flux in many areas. I think this is different in Senator Syas', Senator Keyes' district. They're established districts. I don't think their size or shape for valuation will change much in the foreseeable future but in many of the areas of the State where mandatory redistricting has not taken place yet, will be holding elections for the consolidation of schools and maybe a school district or school board, I should say, would get a little eager beaver to maintain a building, a school facility in their neighborhood and use the lease purchase thing with the wide open and we would wind up with too many schools even though they're attendance centers. We would probably find ourselves with too many attendance centers. Until we have a definite decision made of how many districts there shall be in the State. So I think with proper amendments, this legislation is worthwhile, I think it's facing up to a problem evidently that some districts are being faced with now.

SPEAKER: Is there any further discussion of the motion. Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: Well, I would like to suggest one or two motions. I think the first motion that we advance the bill to select file, if this motion doesn't prevail of Senator Kelly, that we advance the bill to select file and bracket it there. Now, nobody has any reaction as to what anybody thinks outside of ourself in the event this bill becomes law as amended. If we do this, we put it on select file and bracket it for a reasonable period of time, you're going to get some reaction and that's the way it ought to be. If the reaction is adverse on select file, if you want to amend the bill down to 10 years, if you got 24 votes, I'll give you the 25th, but I think, we're