

February 6, 1973

road gear and if I complained he was going too fast, he'd go to low. If I'd tell him he was driving too slow, he would want to go in road gear. Actually, there is something in between usually that is the appropriate speed and I recognize that I have had some comment relative to the Executive Board and I guess, it seems to me that extreme is not the alternative and I would support generally and have always favored strengthening the Executive Board, strengthening the Speaker, I think that's necessary for leadership but I also think that that privilege granted by this body to those whom are elected to leadership also carries with it some responsibility to not necessarily use it to whatever the extreme that may be permitted so in that respect, I'm not offering personally any amendments to change the present authorization but I do want it understood that as far as I'm personally concerned, I don't think the present authorization should be used to whatever extreme that those words permit.

SPEAKER: Senator Whitney.

SENATOR WHITNEY: Mr. President, I think there is a question as to what this amendment really does. It pertains to line 9 and it takes out the words "upon request", is that correct, Senator Carpenter, on line 9, and it would mean then that the Legislative fiscal analyst shall present to the Budget Committee an evaluation of operations of the State Government, now, I think, the reason why I make this statement is Senator Nore said that yesterday in the Budget Committee that the fiscal analyst would not answer the questions. Well, this was not our legislative fiscal analyst, it was the Governor's fiscal analyst and so it doesn't really have any bearing upon this particular bill. Thank you.

SPEAKER: Any further discussion on the Carpenter amendment? Mr. Clerk, why don't you read that amendment?

CLERK: The amendment, Mr. President, is (read).

SPEAKER: Any further discussion on the Carpenter amendment? Do you wish to close, Senator Carpenter.

SENATOR CARPENTER: If I may proceed a little bit out of order, it will serve the same purpose. In answer to Senator Warner, the problem we have in Executive Council is at what point do we refer to this body those things that we think we should do? It's almost a question that can't be answered and this body does not know what the Executive Council does. As an illustration, the Executive Council the other day asked for a reasonable amount of discussion because prior to that time the Executive Council did not approve the warrants or the vouchers for any expenditure of public money which I think is basically wrong. Mr. Gerdes has at his disposal some \$300,000.00. Nobody mistrusts Mr. Gerdes but that's not the point. We changed this to the point that now that every expenditure of public money, each individual voucher, is to be signed either by the Chairman or the Vice-Chairman or two other members of the Executive Council. Now again we have to some extent a statement of faith and I would not if I was Mr. Gerdes, ever have authorized a payment of anything without somebody acting for the Executive Council approving the bill by his notations and by signing his name on every voucher. In the beginning the Executive Committee did give Mr. Gerdes a blanket authority to create a staff of sufficient number of special qualifications and to pay whatever was necessary to get men or women in that position of being qualified. Now these people would cost this body and this State anywhere from probably fifteen, generally up to maybe to \$20, \$25,000.00 a year because we're not talking about ordinary lawyers out of law school. We're not talking about people who are clerks --we're talking about people who are specialists in the field in which this body has the sole responsibility for the expenditure of money. Now speaking only as the Chairman, I would prefer to send to this body to be a part of the daily journal, everything we do. That's what I'd like. Then you share with us the responsibility for everything we do if you approve it and that's the only way