

February 2, 1973

(Start Belt #12)

physical impossibility for the clerk to do the necessary book work and make the entries and pay these immediately, some of the clerk's establish a cut off date, the 20th of each month, which met much disfavor with county employees, so in an effort to correct this problem, we have added some new wording that says, upon completion of the entries so required by the warrant and distribution records of the officer in charge of such records, it's paid at that time, as soon as the records are completed, the county clerks who were affected feel that this corrects the problem for them and we had no opposition.

SPEAKER: Is there any further discussion of the bill? The question is shall LB 38 be advanced from general file to E&R initial, all those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote no. We are voting on the advancement of LB 38 as explained by Senator Richard Lewis. Have you all voted? The Clerk will record. Sorry Senator Epke.

CLERK: 33 ayes, no nays, 16 not voting Mr. President.

SPEAKER: The bill is advanced. Senator Warner do we, or Senator Barnett can we go back to 137?

SENATOR BARNETT: Well I have an explanation of it, and they can, they can do whatever the body wishes to do, Senator Syas did you read the committee reports, the Clerk has told me it has been handed to him, I can read you the bill as advanced to general file by a vote of five to none, and there was three Senators absent, Chambers, Decamp and Fellman, and I'd be happy to get this committee report made to you and send it around and you can hold it over to Monday whichever you people decide to do.

SPEAKER: Okay, good.

SENATOR BARNETT: I'll try to get them out to you.

SPEAKER: The title has been read, are there amendment to LB 137?

CLERK: None.

SPEAKER: Senator Warner what is your pleasure?

SENATOR WARNER: Mr. President I move that LB 137 be advanced to E&R initial. Mr. President what the bill does is a clarification of the one of the highway bills in 1969, under those laws, the counties are and the municipalities were both required to submit their annual work program, and there was a provision in the law that the, their share of the allocation of highway user fees would be held up if they did not report their plan by the deadline and it would be held up until the report came in. The Attorney General subsequently ruled that because those payments are distributed on a monthly basis, that the county who failed to have it's plans submitted on time, lost them, and this of course was not the intent, so what the bill does now is merely state that if a county or city has not turned in their plan by the deadline date, funds that they would receive monthly would be held in escrow up to six months in order that they could have time to submit their plans. It was supported by the county officials, I believe with these municipalities with no opposition and it is essentially a correction bill, corrective bill.

SPEAKER: Is there any further discussion of LB 137? If not, the question is, shall LB 137 be advanced from general file to E&R initial, all those in favor vote aye, all those opposed vote no. Voting on the advancement of LB 137 as Senator Warner has explained. Once again the Chair would ask if you have all voted? Thank you, the Clerk will record.