PREPARED BY: DATE PREPARED: PHONE: Mike Lovelace April 02, 2014 471-0050

**LB 390** 

Revision: 02

## FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

Revised to reflect amendments adopted through 4/1/14.

ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT - STATE AGENCIES (See narrative for political subdivision estimates)				
	FY 2014-15		FY 2015-16	
_	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE	EXPENDITURES	REVENUE
GENERAL FUNDS	See Below		See below	
CASH FUNDS				
FEDERAL FUNDS				
OTHER FUNDS				
TOTAL FLINIDS		_		

Any Fiscal Notes received from state agencies and political subdivisions are attached following the Legislative Fiscal Analyst Estimate.

**LB 390** amends the Emergency Management Act to remove firearms from the list of items that can be controlled by suspending or limiting their sale, dispensing, or transportation during a declared emergency. This provision has no fiscal impact.

Amendments adopted on Select File have inserted the provisions of LB 772 and LB 694 into the bill.

<u>LB 772</u> would allow up to \$25,000 to be spent on aerial fire suppression or hazardous material response per event out of the Governor's Emergency Program without a state of emergency declaration issued by the Governor. The current limit is \$10,000.

The increase in the limit may increase expenditures from the Governor's Emergency Program if there are events that cost more than the current \$10,000 limit. The exact amount of any increase in expenditures is unknown since it is dependent on future events which cannot be predicted. Actual expenditures for all aerial fire suppression events under the \$10,000 limit are zero so far this fiscal year, and in FY12-13 were \$43,000. It's my understanding that individually none of the events reached the current \$10,000 limit. For hazardous material events, many times the cost is reimbursed by insurance companies so related net expenses are minimal. Based on this historical experience any increase in expenditures will be minimal enough to be absorbed without a need to increase the appropriation.

**LB 694** bill would change provisions relating to unlawful possession of a firearm at a school. The bill would allow firearms to be possessed by a person for the purpose of using them, with the approval of the school, in an historical reenactment, in a hunter education program, or as part of an honor guard. This provision has no fiscal impact.