Doug Nichols January 25, 2011 471-0052

LB 202

Revision: 00

FISCAL NOTE

LEGISLATIVE FISCAL ANALYST ESTIMATE

| ESTIMATE OF FISCAL IMPACT – STATE AGENCIES * | | | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|--|
| | FY 2011-12 | | FY 2012-13 | | |
| | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | EXPENDITURES | REVENUE | |
| GENERAL FUNDS | | | | | |
| CASH FUNDS | | | | | |
| FEDERAL FUNDS | | | | | |
| OTHER FUNDS | | | | | |
| TOTAL FUNDS | | | | | |

This bill would authorize petitions for recall and resentencing for certain minors sentenced to life imprisonment.

The Supreme Court states that this bill may impact judicial workload but estimates no significant fiscal impact.

DEPARTMENT OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

| REVIEWED BY | Joe Wilcox | 1/25/11 | PHONE 471-2526 | |
|--|------------|---------|----------------|--|
| COMMENTS | | | | |
| SUPREME COURT – No basis to dispute agency analysis. | | | | |

^{*}Does not include any impact on political subdivisions. See narrative for political subdivision estimates.



Please complete \underline{ALL} (5) blanks in the first three lines.

| LB® | 202 | FISC. | AL | NO | TE |
|-----|-----|-------|----|----|----|
|-----|-----|-------|----|----|----|

| LEGISL | illo o | |
|-----------|--------|--|
| 10-100 m. | FISCAT | |

| State Agency OR Political Subdivision Name: (2) | | Supreme Court | preme Court FISCAL | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|----------------------|
| Prepared by: (3) | Eric Asboe | Date Prepared: (4) | 1/25/11 Pho | ne: (5)1-4138 |
| | ESTIMATE PROVIDE | D BY STATE AGEN | CY OR POLITICAL SUBI | DIVISION |
| | <u>FY 2011</u> EXPENDITURES | 2012 REVENUE | <u>FY</u> EXPENDITURES | 2012-2013 REVENUE |
| | _ | REVENUE | EAT ENDITURES | REVENCE |
| GENERAL FUND | | | | |
| CASH FUNDS FEDERAL FUND | <u> </u> | | | |
| OTHER FUNDS | | | | |
| TOTAL FUNDS | | | | |
| Return by date specified or 72 hours prior to public hearing, whichever is earlier. Explanation of Estimate: | | | | |

Although LB 202 may impact judicial workload any effect is not estimated to be significant.

| | MAJOR OBJE | CTS OF EXPENI | DITURE | |
|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Personal Services: | | | | |
| POSITION TITLE | NUMBER OF <u>11-12</u> | F POSITIONS 12-13 | 2011-2012 EXPENDITURES | 2012-2013 EXPENDITURES |
| | | | | |
| Benefits | | | | |
| Operating | | | | |
| Travel Capital outlay | | | | |
| Aid | | | of my distribute | |
| Capital improvements | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | |